TC 824 C2 A2 no. 39:60 1: TO ARY





OF CALIFORNIA BRARY


## THE RESOURCES AGENCY OF CALIFORNIA Department of Water Resources

BULLETIN No. 39-60

# WATER SUPPLY CONDITIONS IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA DURING 1959-60

VOLUME TEXT

DECEMBER 1961



EDMUND G. BROWN

Governor

State of California

WILLIAM E. WARNE

Administrator The Resources Agency of Colifornia and Director

Department of Water Resources



## STATE OF CALIFORNIA THE RESOURCES AGENCY OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

#### BULLETIN No. 39-60

## WATER SUPPLY CONDITIONS IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA DURING 1959-60

VOLUME I

DECEMBER 1961

EDMUND G. BROWN
Governor
State of California

WILLIAM E. WARNE

Administrator

The Resources Agency of California

and Director

Department of Water Resources

#### VOLUMES OF BULLETIN No. 39-60

Volume I—Text.

Volume II—Precipitation and Water Level Data, Central Coastal, and Los Angeles Regions.

Volume III—Precipitation and Water Level Data, Lahontan, Colorado River Basin, Santa Ana, and San Diego Regions.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Part of the second seco	age
LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL	lii
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	ix
ORGANIZATION, DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES	х
CHAPTER I. INTRODUCTION	
Authorization	1
Scope of Activity and Report	2
Methods and Procedures	3
Areal Designation Code	3
Precipitation Station Designation	4
Well Numbering System	4
Definition of Seasons	5
Precipitation	6
Surface Runoff	6
Reservoir Storage	6
Ground Water Levels	6
Contemporary Basic Data Reports	7
Prior Reports	8
Summary	10
CHAPTER II. SURFACE WATER SUPPLY CONDITIONS	
	11
Precipitation	
Central Coastal Region (No. 3) - (Santa Barbara and San Luis Obispo Counties)	12
Los Angeles Region (No. 4)	15

Pa	ıge
Lahontan Region (No. 6)	16
Colorado River Basin Region (No. 7)	18
Santa Ana Region (No. 8)	18
San Diego Region (No. 9)	20
Runoff	20
Discharge to the Ocean	24
Storage in Surface Reservoirs	24
Colorado River Diversions	29
Importation to Coastal Southern California	30
Sewage Discharge to Saline Waters	31
CHAPTER III. GROUND WATER SUPPLY CONDITIONS	
Ground Water Conditions	33
Central Coastal Region (No. 3)	33
Los Angeles Region (No. 4)	39
Lahontan Region (No. 6)	48
Colorado River Basin Region (No. 7)	48
Santa Ana Region (No. 8)	53
San Diego Region (No. 9)	60
Artificial Recharge	60
CHAPTER IV. QUALITY OF WATER AND SEA-WATER INTRUSION	
Water Quality	69
Sea-Water Intrusion	69
Oxnard Plain Pressure Area	79
West Coast Basin	80

		Page
]	East Coastal Plain Pressure Area	81
	CHAPTER V. MISCELLANEOUS ACTIVITIES AFFECTING WATER SUPPLY CONDITIONS	
Const:	ruction of Dams	83
Major	Aqueduct Construction	83
Water	District Formation Activities	85
	TABLES	
Table	No. Title	Page
1	Cumulative Monthly Precipitation at San Luis Obispo, Los Angeles, San Diego and Barstow	13
2	Seasonal and Mean Precipitation at Selected Stations in Southern California	14
3	Averages of Precipitation Indexes for Stations in Hydrologic Units in Central Coastal Region (No. 3) for the 1959-60 Season	15
4	Averages of Precipitation Indexes for Stations in Hydrologic Units in Los Angeles Region (No. 4) for the 1959-60 Season	16
5	Averages of Precipitation Indexes for Stations in Hydrologic Units in Lahontan Region (No. 6) for the 1959-60 Season	17
6	Averages of Precipitation Indexes for Stations in Hydrologic Units in Colorado River Basin Region (No. 7) for the 1959-60 Season	19
7	Averages of Precipitation Indexes for Stations in Hydrologic Units in Santa Ana Region (No. 8) for the 1959-60 Season	20
8	Averages of Precipitation Indexes for Stations in Hydrologic Units in San Diego Region (No. 9) for the 1959-60 Season	21
9	Estimated 1959-60 Seasonal Unimpaired Runoff at Selected Stations in Southern California	22

#### TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page
10	Estimated Seasonal Discharge to the Ocean During 1958-59 and 1959-60 from Selected Streams in Southern California	25
11	Water in Storage in Selected Surface Reservoirs in, or Supplying Water to, Southern California on October 1, 1959, and October 1, 1960	27
12	Quantity and Percent Change in Amount of Water Diverted from the Colorado River for Use in California During 1958-59 and 1959-60	29
13	Colorado River Water Imported to Counties in Coastal Southern California During 1958-59 and 1959-60	31
14	Sewage Discharged to Saline Waters in 1958-59 and 1959-60 from Major Sewerage Systems in Southern California	32
15	Estimated Average Changes in Ground Water Level Elevations in Selected Valleys and Basins in Central Coastal Region (No. 3) - (Santa Barbara and San Luis Obispo Counties) During 1959-60	35
16	Estimated Average Changes in Ground Water Level Elevations in Selected Valleys and Basins in Los Angeles Region (No. 4) During 1959-60	40
17	Estimated Average Changes in Ground Water Level Elevations in Selected Valleys and Basins in Lahontan Region (No. 6) - (Southern Portion) During 1959-60	49
18	Estimated Average Changes in Ground Water Level Elevations in Selected Valleys and Basins in Colorado River Basin Region (No. 7) During 1959-60	54
19	Estimated Average Changes in Ground Water Level Elevations in Selected Valleys and Basins in Santa Ana Region (No. 8) During 1959-60	57
20	Estimated Average Changes in Ground Water Level Elevations in Selected Valleys and Basins in San Diego Region (No. 9) During 1959-60	61

#### TABLES

Table	No.	Title	Page
21		Summary of Principal Artificial Recharge Activities in Southern California During the 1959-60 Water Year	65
22		Mineral Analyses of Surface Water at Selected Stations in Southern California	70
23		Mineral Analyses of Ground Water at Selected Wells in Southern California	73
54		Dam Projects Completed or Under Construction During the Water Year 1959-60	84
		PLATES	
		(Plates listed below are bound at the end of this volume)	
Plate	No.	Title	
1		Location of Southern District	
2		Precipitation During 1959-60 in Percent of 50-Year Mean Precipitation	
3		Representative Precipitation Characteristics in Southern California	
<u>)</u> †		Representative Runoff Characteristics in Southern California	
5		Historical Importations of Water to Coastal Southern California	
6		Location of Wells at Which Water Level Fluctuations are Shown, Central Coastal Region (No. 3)	
7		Location of Wells at Which Water Level Fluctuations are Shown, Los Angeles Region (No. 4)	
8		Location of Wells at Which Water Level Fluctuations are Shown, Lahontan Region (No. 6)	
9		Location of Wells at Which Water Level Fluctuations are Shown, Colorado River Basin Region (No. 7)	

PLATES

(Plates listed below are bound at the end of this volume)

Plate No.	<u>Title</u>
10	Location of Wells at Which Water Level Fluctuations are Shown, Santa Ana Region (No. 8)
11	Location of Wells at Which Water Level Fluctuations are Shown, San Diego Region (No. 9)
12A	Fluctuation of Water Levels at Key Wells in Southern California
12B	Fluctuation of Water Levels at Key Wells in Southern California
13	Generalized Status of Sea-Water Intrusion, Oxnard Plain Pressure Area, Spring, 1960
14	Fluctuations of Chloride Ion Concentration in Selected Wells
15	Generalized Status of Sea-Water Intrusion, West Coast Basin, Spring, 1960
16	Generalized Status of Sea-Water Intrusion, East Coastal Plain Pressure Area, Spring, 1960

#### APPENDIXES

(The following appendixes are bound in Volume II)

		Page
Α.	Records of Ground Water Levels at Wells in Central Coastal Region (No. 3)	A-1
В.	Records of Ground Water Levels at Wells in Los Angeles Region (No. 4)	B-1
	(The following appendixes are bound in Volume III)	
C.	Records of Ground Water Levels at Wells in Lahontan Region (No. 6)	C-1
D.	Records of Ground Water Levels at Wells in Colorado River Basin Region (No. 7)	D-1
E.	Records of Ground Water Levels at Wells in Santa Ana Region (No. 8)	E-1
F.	Records of Ground Water Levels at Wells in San Diego Region (No. 9)	F-1

ADDRESS REP P. O. Box 388 Sacromenta 2.

WILLIAM E. WARNE Director of Water Resources

JAMES F. WRIGHT Chief Deputy Director

B. ABSOTT GOLDSERG
Deputy Director—Controcts

REGINALD C. PRICE Deputy Director—Policy

> ALFRED R. GOLZÉ Chief Engineer



### THE RESOURCES AGENCY OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

1120 N STREET, SACRAMENTO

December 18, 1961

Honorable Edmund G. Brown, Governor, and Members of the Legislature of the State of California

Gentlemen:

I have the honor to transmit Bulletin No. 39-60, "Water Supply Conditions in Southern California during 1959-60". As the most recent in a series of annual reports, the bulletin was prepared pursuant to Sections 225 and 226 of the California Water Code.

During the report period, precipitation was again generally below normal throughout the area. In coastal regions, precipitation was approximately 70 percent of mean, and major stream runoff amounted to about 28 percent of mean.

Continuation of the drought has also meant that the supply of water available in the area has diminished. This is evidenced by a decrease in the amount of water stored in surface reservoirs (down to 18 percent of capacity on October 1, 1960, from 23 percent of capacity a year earlier), a general lowering of ground water levels, and a rather marked increase in the volume of water imported.

The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California and the City of Los Angeles imported an all-time high of 1,158,000 acre-feet of water through their aqueducts. This represents a 16 percent increase over their importations of water in the previous year.

Despite such large increases in volume of imported water, ground water levels continued to decline. Consequently, sea water continued to intrude ground water basins in coastal areas, degrading water quality in portions of those basins. Rather large advances of intruding sea water were noted in the ground water basins in the Oxnard Plain of Ventura County and the Coastal Plain of Orange County.

In view of the extent and complexity of water supply problems discussed in this bulletin, those programs which seek to alleviate or solve such problems appear to warrant continued attention and support.

Sincerely yours,

Director

William & Lame

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The Department of Water Resources gratefully acknowledges the assistance and contributions of the many public agencies, private organizations, and individuals whose cooperation greatly facilitated the preparation of this bulletin. In this regard, special mention is made of the following:

City of San Bernardino

City of San Diego

Los Angeles County Flood Control District

Los Angeles Department of Water and Power

Orange County Flood Control District

Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District

San Bernardino County Flood Control District

San Luis Obispo County Flood Control and Water Conservation District

The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California

United States Geological Survey

United States Weather Bureau

United Water Conservation District

Ventura County Flood Control District

Eleventh Naval District, Public Works Office

### STATE OF CALIFORNIA THE RESOURCES AGENCY OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

EDMUND G. BROWN, Governor
WILLIAM E. WARNE, Administrator, The Resources Agency of California
and Director, Department of Water Resources
ALFRED R. GOLZE, Chief Engineer

#### SOUTHERN DISTRICT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT
Herbert A. Howlett
This bulletin was prepared under the direction of
Donald H. McKillop Senior Engineer, Water Resources
by
Robert F. Clawson Senior Engineer, Water Resources
assisted by
Walter Tilton Assistant Civil Engineer Sydney A. Zucker Assistant Civil Engineer Harold W. Leeson
Chapter IV of this report was prepared under the direction of
David B. Willets Supervising Engineer, Water Resources
рх
Robert Fox Associate Engineering Geologist

#### CHAPTER I. INTRODUCTION

This report is the twenty-ninth of the Bulletin No. 39 series which has been published annually since 1932. The report contains a discussion of water supply conditions in Southern California for the 1959-60 season, with supporting basic data compiled by the Department of Water Resources and other water agencies operating in Southern California. Presented in this report are data on precipitation, surface stream flow, and underground waters, including consideration of both quantity and quality of these resources. Information is also given on the activities of representative water agencies. This material is intended for the use of water agencies and the public in the study of surface and ground water problems.

#### Authorization

The California Legislature of 1929 enacted legislation designated Chapter 832, Statutes of 1929, quoted in part, as follows:

"SECTION 1. Out of any money in the state treasury not otherwise appropriated, the sum of four hundred fifty thousand dollars\*, or so much thereof as may be necessary, is hereby appropriated to be expended by the state department of public works in accordance with law in conducting work of exploration, investigation and preliminary plans in furtherance of a coordinated plan for the conservation, development and utilization of the water resources of California including the Santa Ana river and its tributaries, the Mojave river and its tributaries, and all other water resources of Southern California."

\* Reduced by the Governor to \$390,000.

Pursuant to this legislation the Division of Water Resources undertook a series of hydrologic investigations of the Southern California area.

Subsequent sessions of the Legislature provided funds for the Division of Water Resources and later, the Department of Water Resources, to continue

these studies. Initially, this included some investigation of the quality of irrigation waters; however, pursuant to Chapter 1552, Statutes of 1949, this work was expanded to include the study of pollution and degradation of waters of the State. Current authorization for this work is set forth in Sections 225 and 226 of the California Water Code.

#### Scope of Activity and Report

The early reports of the Bulletin No. 39 series were limited to publication of ground water level records in the Santa Ana, San Gabriel, and Los Angeles River Valleys, and the West and South Coastal Plains. Subsequently, the area covered by the report was extended to include the San Jacinto and Antelope Valleys. A general water supply summary for the southern portion of the State was added in 1948. The summary contained information on precipitation, runoff, surface reservoir storage, importations, water quality, and changes in ground water levels.

To enable more rapid dissemination of data, the period covered by the reports was changed in 1956 from the calendar year to the water year (October 1-September 30), or precipitation year (July 1-June 30), as the data permitted, and the area was expanded to include the entire Southern District encompassing the area shown on Plate 1, "Location of Southern District". In addition, discussions of sea-water intrusion, weather modification operations, outflow to the ocean, and sewage discharge to the ocean were added to provide a more complete description of water supply conditions.

Bulletin 39-60 is presented in three volumes. This first volume contains a description of the water supply conditions during the 1959-60 season, while Volumes II and III consist of six appendixes containing ground water level data. Sixteen pertinent plates are bound in Volume I.

Volume II contains Appendix A, "Records of Ground Water Levels at Wells in Central Coastal Region (No. 3)"; and Appendix B, "Records of Ground Water Levels at Wells in Los Angeles Region (No. 4)". Volume III contains Appendix C, "Records of Ground Water Levels at Wells in Lahontan Region (No. 6)"; Appendix D, "Records of Ground Water Levels at Wells in Colorado River Basin Region (No. 7)"; Appendix E, "Records of Ground Water Levels at Wells in Santa Ana Region (No. 8)"; and Appendix F, "Records of Ground Water Levels at Wells in San Diego Region (No. 9)".

#### Methods and Procedures

The use of machine data handling procedures facilitated preparation of the appendixes to this report. Ground water level data were placed on IBM cards and listed by use of a tabulating machine. In connection with this procedure it was necessary to adopt a coding or numbering system to designate ground water basins, precipitation stations, and wells. These coding systems are described in the following paragraphs.

#### Areal Designation Code

The areal designation code is based on a decimal numbering system using the form 0-00.00, although in the appendixes of this report machine limitations have required the shortening of this to the form 00000. The number to the left of the dash refers to the geographic region as defined in Section 13040 of the California Water Code and delineated on Plate 1. The two digits to the left of the decimal point refer to a hydrologic unit. This unit generally comprises a major watershed, which may include areas overlying both water-bearing and nonwater-bearing formations. For simplification, the designation "hydrologic unit" is also given to groups

of small adjacent watershed areas, with similar hydrologic conditions, which drain directly to the ocean. The Malibu Hydrologic Unit is an example of this type of watershed area.

The two digits to the right of the decimal point refer to a subunit within the hydrologic unit, including, as before, areas overlying both water-bearing and nonwater-bearing formations. The locations and numerical codings for the hydrologic units and subunits within the area treated in this report are shown on plates pertinent to Chapter III. Similar plates are also provided in Volumes II and III of this bulletin.

#### Precipitation Station Designation

Precipitation stations are designated by their longitude and latitude to the nearest second. This gives the location of the station within an accuracy range of about 100 feet.

#### Well Numbering System

The well numbering system employed herein is that originated by the United States Geological Survey and is referenced to the township, range and section subdivision of the Federal Land Survey. It conforms to that used in all ground water investigations made by the United States Geological Survey in California and has been adopted by the Department of Water Resources. A cross-index between this numbering system and systems in common use by other agencies in the Southern California area was published as Volume IV of Bulletin 39-57.

Under the adopted system each section is divided into 40-acre plots, called lots, which are lettered as follows:

D	С	В	А
E	F 2	G 6 ——	Н
М	L	К	J
N	Р	Q	R

Wells are numbered within each of these lots according to the sequence in which they have been assigned State Well Numbers. For example, a well which has the number 10N/18E-26Al, S would be in Township 10 North, Range 18 East, Section 26, San Bernardino Base and Meridian, and would be further located as the first well assigned a State Well Number in Lot A. In this report, well numbers are referenced either to the San Bernardino Base and Meridian (S) or the Mount Diablo Base and Meridian (M).

For some wells, the letter following the section number is designated "X". This indicates that the well has been field located and accurately plotted with respect to its position on the map; however, the map control for the Public Land Survey in that area at present is inadequate and does not warrant assignment of a more accurate location number.

#### Definition of Seasons

Reference is made to a number of periods or seasons in the description of water supply conditions presented in the ensuing chapters of this report. Since the time span for each of these periods or seasons depends upon the type of data being accumulated, the periods are defined in the following paragraphs.

#### Precipitation

Precipitation data covers the 12-month period July 1 through

June 30. This conforms to standard United States Weather Bureau practice.

Because of local practice, sewage disposal data are also related to this period.

#### Surface Runoff

Surface runoff data are compiled for the water year, which comprises the 12-month period, October 1 through September 30. Artificial recharge and imported water data are also related to this period.

#### Reservoir Storage

The quantity of water in storage in surface reservoirs is given as of October 1 of each year.

#### Ground Water Levels

The appendixes to this report contain water level data for the period July 1, 1959, through June 30, 1960. However, for purposes of the discussion of water supply conditions, ground water level data are generally related to the period spring to spring. This generally approximates the period April 1959 to April 1960 and the average changes in ground water levels are compiled by arithmetically averaging data obtained at both of these times. This period is used since about one-half of the wells are measured on a semiannual basis in the spring and in the fall, and the most uniform coverage can be obtained at these times. Since all ground water data collected by local agencies were obtained by the Department during the summer, the spring measurements were selected for annual comparisons in an endeavor to make this report as current as possible. In a few

instances, particularly when only annual measurements are collected, some other period corresponding to the field measurements is referred to. Where such deviations are made, appropriate notes are provided.

#### Contemporary Basic Data Reports

This report is one of several related reports issued annually by the Department of Water Resources, designed primarily to publish basic hydrologic data and to present discussions of water supply conditions.

Concurrent reports, not all of which are published annually, are listed below. The year indicated in the title is that of the latest publication.

Bulletin Series No.	
23	Surface Water Flow for 1959 (Formerly Sacramento- San Joaquin Water Supervision)
65	Quality of Surface Waters in California; 1957-58
66	Quality of Ground Waters in California; 1957-58
77	Ground Water Conditions in Central and Northern California, 1957-58
91-1	Data on Wells in the West Part of the Middle Mojave Valley Area, San Bernardino County, California; June 1960
91-2	Data on Water Wells and Springs in the Yucca Valley - Twentynine Palms Area, San Bernardino and Riverside Counties, California; June 1960
91-3	Data on Water Wells in the Eastern Part of the Middle Mojave Valley Area, San Bernardino County, California; August 1960
91-4	Data on Water Wells in the Willow Springs, Gloster, and Chaffee Areas, Kern County, California; September 1960
91-5	Data on Water Wells in the Dale Valley Area, San Bernardino and Riverside Counties, California; March 1961

In addition, water condition reports are prepared by the Department of Water Resources as of the first of each month from February through May of each year. They contain forecasts of the runoff expected to occur during the ensuing April-July snowmelt period. The April 1 reports contain a section on ground water conditions and a tabulation of ground water level data.

#### Prior Reports

Bulletin No. 39, entitled "Records of Ground Water Levels at Wells", was first published in 1932 as a part of the investigations initiated by Chapter 832, Statutes of 1929. Since then, water levels at selected wells have been published annually in Bulletins Nos. 39-A through 39-W, and Bulletins Nos. 39-56 through 39-59. Bulletin No. 39-56, the first of the numbered series, followed Bulletin No. 39-W without interruption in the continuity of data.

Since 1930, many bulletins covering various aspects of the hydrology of the South Coast Basin have been published by the Department of Water Resources and its predecessor, the Division of Water Resources. These bulletins include data on water use, ground water levels, quality of water, value and cost of water for irrigation, water losses and evaporation data, underground geology, and evaluation of overdraft on ground water basins in Southern California. Representative bulletins include:

- California Department of Public Works, Division of Water Resources. "Santa Ana River Basin". Bulletin No. 31. 1930.
- California Department of Public Works, Division of Water Resources. "South Coastal Basin, A Symposium". Bulletin No. 32. 1930.

- California Department of Public Works, Division of Water Resources.

  "Rainfall Penetration and Consumptive Use of Water in Santa
  Ana River Valley and Coastal Plain". Bulletin No. 33. 1930.
- California Department of Public Works, Division of Water Resources.
  "South Coastal Basin Investigation, Quality of Irrigation
  Waters". Bulletin No. 40. 1933.
- California Department of Public Works, Division of Water Resources.
  "South Coastal Basin Investigation, Detailed Analyses Showing Quality of Irrigation Waters". Bulletin No. 40-A. 1933.
- California Department of Public Works, Division of Water Resources.
  "South Coastal Basin Investigation, Value and Cost of Water for Irrigation in Coastal Plain of Southern California".
  Bulletin No. 43. 1933.
- California Department of Public Works, Division of Water Resources.
  "South Coastal Basin Investigation, Water Losses Under Natural
  Conditions from Wet Areas in Southern California". Bulletin
  No. 44. 1933.
- California Department of Public Works, Division of Water Resources.
  "South Coastal Basin Investigation, Geology and Ground Water
  Storage Capacity of Valley Fill". Bulletin No. 45. 1934.
- California Department of Public Works, Division of Water Resources. "South Coastal Basin Investigation, Overdraft on Ground Water Basins". Bulletin No. 53. 1947.
- California Department of Public Works, Division of Water Resources. "Report to the Assembly of the State Legislature on Water Supply of Antelope Valley in Los Angeles and Kern Counties". May 1947.
- California Department of Public Works, Division of Water Resources.
  "Southern California Area Investigation, Memorandum Report
  on Water Conditions in Antelope Valley in Kern, Los Angeles
  and San Bernardino Counties". February 1955.
- California Water Resources Board. "Los Angeles County Land and Water Use Survey, 1955". Bulletin No. 24, June 1956.
- California Department of Water Resources. "Quality of Surface and Ground Waters in Upper Santa Ana Valley". Bulletin No. 40-57. June 1957.
- California Department of Water Resources, Division of Resources Planning. "Sea-Water Intrusion in California". Bulletin No. 63. August 1958.

- California Department of Water Resources. "Orange County Land and Water Use Survey, 1957". Bulletin No. 70. January 1959.
- California Department of Water Resources. "Upper Santa Ana River Drainage Area Land and Water Use Survey, 1957". Bulletin No. 71. June 1960.

#### Summary

The protracted drought plaguing Southern California since the beginning of the 1944-45 season extended through another year as recorded precipitation in that area averaged approximately 70 percent of normal during the 1959-60 season. This series of subnormal years has been interrupted only by the wet seasons of 1951-52 and 1957-58.

Seasonal unimpaired runoff was considerably below normal and storage in most surface reservoirs was decidedly lower than that of a year ago, approaching an all-time low. In most areas ground water levels declined. In some of the more heavily pumped basins, overdraft conditions prevailed, and conditions favorable to the continued intrusion of sea water occurred in certain coastal basins where ground water levels fell below sea level.

The amount of supplemental water imported to coastal Southern California was increased 16 percent over that of the previous year; a total of 1,158,000 acre-feet being imported in an endeavor to compensate for the shortage of local supply, and to meet the ever-increasing demands. These waters were imported from the Owens River and Mono Basin by the City of Los Angeles, and from the Colorado River by The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California.

#### CHAPTER II. SURFACE WATER SUPPLY CONDITIONS

The 1959-60 season was another in a series of dry years in Southern California, extending the current dry period which began in 1944 to sixteen years. This protracted drought has been interrupted only by the wet years of 1951-52 and 1957-58. The manifestation of this subnormal season in terms of precipitation, runoff, storage in surface reservoirs, imports, runoff to the ocean and sewage discharges to the ocean, is discussed in the following pages.

#### Precipitation

Precipitation throughout Southern California during the 1959-60 season was generally below normal for all months except January. Normal, as used here, relates to the average for the 50 year period 1897-98 through 1946-47. The general distribution of precipitation, as indicated by lines of equal precipitation index, may be seen by an inspection of Plate 2, "Precipitation during 1959-60 in Percent of 50 Year Mean." As used in this bulletin, the precipitation index is the current year's precipitation at a station in percent of the 50 year mean. To provide a representative value of the precipitation over an area this index is averaged for a number of stations in the area; the result is designated as the average of precipitation indexes. For regions, a representative index is obtained by averaging the average of precipitation indexes for the areas in the region.

There was no appreciable precipitation in coastal Southern California until December, and by January 1, 1960, the seasonal total at Los Angeles reached only 26 percent of normal. The January precipitation raised the seasonal total at Los Angeles to 55 percent of normal, but dry conditions prevailed throughout the balance of the season for Los Angeles

and most of Southern California. The fluctuation of precipitation as the 1959-60 season advanced is shown on Table 1, which represents data on cumulative monthly precipitation for the 1959-60 season at selected stations. Data on Table 1 are related to precipitation for a 50 year mean (1897-1947), on Plate 3, "Representative Precipitation Characteristics in Southern California", where annual variations in precipitation are graphically portrayed for stations at San Luis Obispo, Los Angeles, San Diego, and Barstow.

Total seasonal precipitation in coastal Southern California was only about 70 percent of the 50 year mean. In the desert areas, the precipitation varied over a range from approximately 25 percent of the mean at Bishop to 110 percent at Blythe. The total seasonal precipitation in inches and percent of mean for selected stations, which represent a variety of areas in Southern California, is shown on Table 2.

#### Central Coastal Region (No. 3) - (Santa Barbara and San Luis Obispo Counties)

Precipitation data for hydrologic units in the Central Coastal
Region are given in Table 3. Precipitation varied from a maximum of 88 percent of mean for the 50 year period 1897-1947 for stations in the Santa Maria
Valley, to a minimum of 47 percent of the mean in the Cuyama River Valley.
The average of precipitation indexes for all stations in this region was
68 percent of the mean. Measured precipitation at the City of San Luis Obispo
for the season was 15.91 inches or 73 percent of the mean, while at Santa
Barbara the precipitation was 10.82 inches, about 58 percent of the mean.

The Santa Barbara Weather Modification Project was continued in Santa Barbara and Ventura Counties for the fourth year as ground based silver iodide generators operated a total of 1400 hours in Santa Barbara County.

This is a cooperative investigation to provide data for statistically testing

TABLE 1

CUMULATIVE MONTHLY PRECIPITATION AT SAN LUIS OBISPO, LOS ANGELES, SAN DIEGO AND BARSTOW

	: Cumulativ	Cumilative monthly preofpt- tation at San Luie Obispo	reoipi-	: Cumulative	Cumulative monthly precipitation at Los Angeles	reoipt- :	Cumilativ	Cumilative monthly precipi- tation at San Diego	recipi-	: Cumilative monthly precipi- tation at Barstow	ative monthly pretation at Barstow	precipi-
	50-year	: 1959-60 Season	Season	50-year	: 1959-60 Season	Season :	50-year	: 1959-60	Season	: 50-year :	1959-6	1959-60 Season
Month			r In	: meen	**	In	mean	••	ri.	: mean :		uI.
	: 1897-1947, :	In		: 1897-1947, : in inches	: In :	percent :	1897-1947, in inches	: In :	percent of mean	: 1897-1947,: : in inches :	In	percent of mean
100	0.0	C	c	10.0	Trace	0	0.03	Trace	0	0.15	0,10	49
Angust	90.0	0	0	0.03	Trace	0	6000	Trace	0	0.41	0.57	139
Sentember	0.21	0.95	450	0.31	0.01	m	0.23	₹°°	17	0.58	0.57	98
Ootober	0.86	0.95	110	06.0	0.01	. ~	0.79	0.27	ま	0.87	0.57	69
Movember	2,59	1.27	617	1.%	0.08	ℷϮ	1.61	0.29	18	1.16	1.16	100
December	6.83	1.45	21	7,73	1.17	26	3.59	1.73	8 <del>1</del>	1.75	2,00	114
January	11.87	41.4	35	7.41	4.11	55	5.51	4.72	%	2.41	2,43	101
February	16.01	10.74	49	10.78	6.37	59	7.67	6.17	80	3.04	3.16	104
March	19.53	10.74	<b>1</b> 2.7	13.45	69.9	87	9.32	6.72	27,	3.72	3.16	85
May	51°年7	11.76	K Kri	大品	800	N LES E	10.32	7.45	128	14, 1 00, 1	3.6	343
ounc	/4.17	0/011	Ĭ.	T-00T	0.10	22	0C*07	6.1	*/	/ 40 0	2	2

TABLE 2

SEASONAL AND MEAN PRECIPITATION AT
SELECTED STATIONS IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

Station		50-year mean 1897-1947, in inches	:	In percent
San Luis Obispo	San Luis Obispo	21.68	15.91	73
Paso Robles	San Luis Obispo	15.82	9.59	61
Santa Maria	Santa Barbara	13.52	10.78	80
Santa Barbara	Santa Barbara	18.56	10.82	58
Ventura	Ventura	15.59	11.04	71
Los Angeles	Los Angeles	14.81	8.18	55
Pomona	Los Angeles	18.21	10.28	56
Santa Ana	Orange	14.16	10.52	74
San Bernardino	San Bernardino	17.21	12.25	71
Bishop	Inyo	6.14	1.50	24
Barstow Blythe Brawley Oceanside San Diego	San Bernardino	4.17	3.16	76
	Riverside	4.03	4.55	113
	Imperial	2.40	2.41	100
	San Diego	12.38	8.77	71
	San Diego	10.36	7.45	72

the effectiveness of weather modification operations. Agencies participating in the project were: the University of California, Ventura

County Flood Control District, The Santa Barbara County Water Agency, North

America Weather Consultants, the United States Weather Bureau, the United

States Forest Service, the Department of Water Resources. Many other agencies and individuals, who operated rain gages and supplied records, contributed to the project.

The history and a preliminary evaluation of this project are presented in "Interim Report of the Board of Directors, Santa Barbara Weather Modification Project", published by the Department of Water Resources in February 1960.

TABLE 3

AVERAGES OF PRECIPITATION INDEXES FOR STATIONS IN HYDROLOGIC UNITS IN CENTRAL COASTAL REGION NO. 3

FOR THE 1959-60 SEASON

Hydrologic Unit	: Areal : Designation: Code Number:	of :	precipitation
Salinas Valley San Luis Obispo Group Arroyo Grande Group Santa Maria River Valley Cuyama River Valley	3- 4.00 3- 8.00 3-11.00 3-12.00 3-13.00	8 1 1 4	61 73 86 88 47
San Antonio Creek Valley Santa Ynez River Valley South Coast Basins, Santa Barbara	3-14.00 3-15.00	<b>2</b> 6	87 55
County Carrize Plain	3-16.00 3-19.00	3	59 <b>7</b> 1
Cambria Group Santa Barbara County Coastal	3-21.00	1	84
Group	3-22.00	1	74
Southern Central Coastal Region		31	68

#### Los Angeles Region (No. 4)

Precipitation for the 1959-60 season averaged about 58 percent of normal for hydrologic units in the Los Angeles Region, as shown in Table 4. These data are based upon normals for the 50-year period 1897 to 1947. Precipitation measured at the United States Weather Bureau station at Los Angeles was 8.18 inches or 55 percent of the mean. It varied within the region from a high of 69 percent of the mean in the Ventura River Valley of Ventura County, to a low of 38 percent in Acton Valley in northern Los Angeles County.

Weather modification operations were conducted in both Ventura and Los Angeles Counties during the 1959-60 season. As part of the Santa Barbara

TABLE 4

AVERAGES OF PRECIPITATION INDEXES FOR STATIONS IN HYDROLOGIC UNITS IN LOS ANGELES REGION NO. 4

FOR THE 1959-60 SEASON

Hydrologic Unit	: Areal : Designation : Code Number :	of :	precipitation
Upper Ojai Valley Ojai Valley Ventura River Valley Santa Clara River Valley Acton Valley	4- 1.00 4- 2.00 4- 3.00 4- 4.00 4- 5.00	2 2 8 24 4	59 63 69 64 38
Pleasant Valley Arroyo Santa Rosa Valley Las Posas Valley Simi Valley Coastal Plain, Los Angeles County	4- 6.00 4- 7.00 4- 8.00 4- 9.00 4-11.00	1 6 2 64	62 58 60 64 66
San Fernando Valley San Gabriel Valley Upper Santa Ana Valley, Los Angeles County	4-12.00 4-13.00 4-14.00	37 71	52 52 55
Malibu Coastal Group  Los Angeles Region	4-16.00	4 233	60 58

Weather Modification Project previously discussed, ground based silver iodide generators were operated a total of 1,026 hours in Ventura County. The Los Angeles County Flood Control District logged 231 hours using ground based silver iodide generators in their Weather Modification Project above San Gabriel Dam in the San Gabriel Mountains. The results of this operation are outlined in a report entitled "Office Report on Cloud Seeding", prepared by the Los Angeles County Flood Control District.

#### Lahontan Region (No. 6) - (Southern Portion)

In the Lahontan Region the average of precipitation indexes for the 1959-60 season was 61 percent of the 50-year mean 1897-1947. From the data presented in Table 5, it will be noted that the indexes varied from a

TABLE 5

AVERAGES OF PRECIPITATION INDEXES FOR STATIONS IN HYDROLOGIC UNITS IN LAHONTAN REGION NO. 6

FOR THE 1959-60 SEASON

Hydrologic Unit	: Areal : :Designation: :Code Mumber:	of :	precipitation
Mono Valley Long Valley Owens Valley Deep Springs Valley Death Valley	6- 9.00 6-11.00 6-12.00 6-15.00 6-18.00	2 1 8 1 1	50 45 47 46 88
Riggs Valley Ivanpah Valley Lower Mojave River Valley Middle Mojave River Valley Upper Mojave River Valley	6-23.00 6-30.00 6-40.00 6-41.00 6-42.00	1 1 2 3	72 129 76 73 59
Antelope Valley Searles Valley Rose Valley	6-44.00 6-52.00 6-56.00	18 1 2	64 80 65
Southern Lahontan Region		42	61

minimum of 45 percent of the mean at Long Valley just south of Mono Lake to a high of 129 percent at Mountain Pass just west of Ivanpah Valley. However, the data presented in Table 5 may not be truly indicative of area-wide conditions because of the paucity of observation stations in the Region.

Weather modification activities within the Lahontan Region of the Southern California District were limited to the Mammoth Lake area. Here the Weather Modification Company seeded with silver iodide using air-borne methods for a total of three hours. Reports evaluating this seeding operation were not available to the Department of Water Resources as of the date of this report.

#### Colorado River Basin Region (No. 7)

Precipitation in the Colorado River Basin Region varied over a wide range from the normal as indicated by data presented in Table 6. However, there are no available data for over two thirds of the hydrologic units in this area; therefore, the data presented may not be truly indicative of the conditions which prevailed in the region. The average of precipitation indexes for those hydrologic units for which data are available varied from a high of 185 percent of the 50-year mean (1897-1947) in Ward Valley in the northeastern portion of the region, to a low of 45 percent in Coyote Wells Valley in the southwest portion with the average of precipitation indexes for the entire region being approximately 94 percent.

There were no reports of weather modification activities in this region during the 1959-60 season.

#### Santa Ana Region (No. 8)

The average of precipitation indexes in the Santa Ana Region, as shown in Table 7, for the 1959-60 season was 67 percent of the 50-year mean. The average of precipitation indexes varied from a low of 63 percent of the mean in the Upper Santa Ana Valley to a maximum of 80 percent in Bear Valley. Measured precipitation at the United States Weather Bureau Station in the City of Santa Ana was 10.52 inches, or about 74 percent of the mean.

During the 1959-60 season weather modification operations were conducted in the Santa Ana River Drainage Basin by the Santa Ana River Weather Corporation. Ground based silver iodide generators were used for a total of 2,406 hours between December 8, 1959, and May 5, 1960. Reports evaluating this weather modification operation were not available to the Department of Water Resources as of the date of this report.

TABLE 6

AVERAGES OF PRECIPITATION INDEXES FOR STATIONS IN HYDROLOGIC UNITS IN COLORADO RIVER BASIN REGION NO. 7

FOR THE 1959-60 SEASON

Hydrologic Unit	: Areal : Designation: Code Number:	of	:precipitation
Ward Valley Chuckawalla Valley Twentynine Palms Valley Means Valley Lucerne Valley	7- 3.00 7- 5.00 7-10.00 7-17.00 7-19.00	1 1 1	185 149 74 84 57
Morongo Valley Coachella Valley Borrego Valley Terwilliger Valley San Felipe Valley	7-20.00 7-21.00 7-24.00 7-26.00 7-27.00	1 1 1 1	76 79 92 83 144
Coyote Wells Valley Imperial Valley Orcopia Valley East Salton Sea Valley Palo Verde Valley	7-29.00 7-30.00 7-31.00 7-33.00 7-38.00	1 4 1 3	45 72 137 150 103
Calzona Valley Needles Valley	7-41.00 7-44.00	1	127 145
Colorado River Basin Region		32	94

TABLE 7

AVERAGES OF PRECIPITATION INDEXES FOR STATIONS IN HYDROLOGIC UNITS IN SANTA ANA REGION NO. 8

FOR THE 1959-60 SEASON

Hydrologic Unit		of	: Average :precipitation s: index
Coastal Plain, Orange County Upper Santa Ana Valley Elsinore Valley San Jacinto Valley Bear Valley Santa Ana Region	8-1.00	42	70
	8-2.00	43	63
	8-4.00	1	68
	8-5.00	2	79
	8-9.00	1	80

### San Diego Basin Region (No. 9)

Precipitation in the San Diego Region for the 1959-60 season averaged 76 percent of the 50-year mean. From data presented in Table 8, it will be noted that precipitation in the region varied from a high of 93 percent of the mean in the Coahuila Valley to a low of 65 percent in Mission Valley. Precipitation at the City of San Diego measured 7.45 inches or 72 percent of the mean.

### Runoff

Runoff throughout Southern California was below normal during the 1959-60 water year, reflecting the subnormal seasonal precipitation. The estimated unimpaired seasonal runoff (runoff unaffected by the works of man) for the Arroyo Seco near Pasadena was 11 percent of normal, based on the mean for the 53-year period 1894-95 through 1946-47. Similar values for the Santa Ana River near Mentone, Sespe Creek near Fillmore and Huasna River near Santa Maria were 28 percent, 14 percent and 7 percent of the mean, respectively.

TABLE 8

AVERAGES OF PRECIPITATION INDEXES FOR STATIONS IN HYDROLOGIC UNITS IN SAN DIEGO REGION NO. 9

FOR THE 1959-60 SEASON

Hydrologic Unit	: Areal : :Designation: :Code Number:	of :p	recipitation
San Juan Valley	9- 1.00	5	82
Coahuila Valley	9- 6.00	1	93
San Luis Rey Valley	9- 7.00	2	80
Warner Valley	9- 8.00	3	80
San Pasqual Valley	9-10.00	1	82
Santa Maria Valley Poway Valley Mission Valley San Diego River Valley Sweetwater Valley	9-11.00	2	76
	9-13.00	1	74
	9-14.00	5	65
	9-15.00	3	74
	9-17.00	5	71
Otay Valley Tia Juana Valley Santa Ysabel Valley San Diego Region	9-18.00 9-19.00 9-45.00	2 1 1	72 82 86 76

The estimated unimpaired seasonal runoff of the Owens River below Long Valley was about 60 percent of the 53-year mean. The deficiency in supply in this and the previous year necessitated the activation of wells in the Owens Valley to supplement surface water deliveries to Los Angeles for the first time since 1932. The measured flow of the Colorado River at Lee's Ferry, Arizona, uncorrected for upstream storage or diversion, was approximately 9,185,000 acre-feet, or 77 percent of the average for the 34-year period 1922-23 through 1955-56.

Table 9 presents data on the estimated or measured seasonal unimpaired runoff during the 1959-60 water year at selected stations representative of conditions in Southern California. This table also shows a comparison of the 53-year mean unimpaired runoff with the estimated or measured

TABLE 9

### ESTIMATED 1959-60 SEASONAL UNIMPAIRED RUNOFF AT SELECTED STATIONS IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

### In acre-feet

	: Period		: 53-year	Ma	Maximum <sup>b</sup>	: Mir	Minimum <sup>D</sup>
Station	: of record	1959-60	meana	Season	: Quantity	: Season	: Quantity
Central Coastal Region Arroyo Grande at							
Arroyo Grande	1939 to date	14,310c	23,900	1906-07	76,200	1930-31	800
huasna kiver near Santa Maria	1929 to date	1,400	20,600	1906-07	74,400	で	0
Los Angeles Region Sespe Creek near Fillmore	1911-13			(		( L (	( ( )
N Arroyo Seco near Pasadena	1927 to date 1910 to date	12,690	7,300	1940-41	376,000	1898-99	3,520
Santa Anita Creek near Sierra Madre San Gabriel River near Azusa	1916 to date 1894 to date	990	4,920 122,000	1942-43	16,600	1898-99 1898-99	210
Lahontan Region Owens River below							
Long Valley	1916 to date	101,620	168,500	1906-07	292,000	1930-31	73,010
NOCK CLEER HEGI VALYELMO	1938 to date	2,130	15,000	1921-22	39,000	1950-51	1,380
Deep Creek near Hesperia	1904-22 1929 to date	8,700	47,100e	1921-22	177,000f	1950-51	4,340f
Colorado River Basin Region Colorado River at Lees Ferry Colorado River at Hoover Dam	1911 to date 1933 to date	9,185,000° 9,250,000°	12,009,000cg 11,168,000 <sup>ch</sup>	1916-17 1941-42	21,860,000cf 17,880,000cf	1933-34 1933-34	4,377,000cf 5,058,000cf

### AT ESTIMATED 1959-60 SEASONAL UNIMPAIRED RUNOFF SELECTED STATIONS IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA (continued)

In acre-feet

	: Period		: 53-year :	Max	Maximum <sup>D</sup>	: Mini	MinimumD
Station	: of record	: 1959-60	: mee.na	Season:	Quantity	: Season :	Quantity
Colorado River Basin Region (continued) Colorado River at Yuma Palm Canvon Creek near	1878 to date	1,727,000ci	5,646,000chi	1908-09	26,070,000 <sup>cf</sup>	1955-56	894,000cf
Palm Springs	1930-41 1947 to date	120	3,5801	1936-37	18,980f	1955-56	0.2f
Santa Ana Region Cucamonga Creek near Upland Santa Ana River near Mentone	1928 to date 1896 to date	1,600	6,190	1921-22 1915-16	20,900	1898-99	930
San Diego Region Murrieta Creek at Temecula	1930 to date	1460	8,670	1915-16	60,300	1933-34	420
Santa Ysabel Creek at Sutherland Dam	1936 to date	1,040	15,200	1915-16	95,200	1954-55	700
Cottonwood Creek at Morena Dam	1911 to date	320	12,400	1915-16	75,300	1955-56	130

Mean for period 1894-95 through 1946-47, except as noted.

Indicated maxima and minima are recorded or estimated values for period 1894-95 to date, except as noted.

Measured runoff, unadjusted for upstream development.

Zero flow reported for eleven seasons.

Average for period 1920-21 through 1949-50.

Indicated maxima and minima are recorded or estimated values for given period of record. с. f.

Average for period 1922-23 through 1955-56. Average for period 1936-37 through 1955-56.

Includes discharges from Yuma Main Canal Wasteway and California Drainage Canal. 9 4 5 .

Average for period 1930-31 through 1940-41, and 1947-48 through 1957-58.

minimum and maximum for each station during the period of record. Data on the historical unimpaired seasonal runoff and the accumulated deviation from the mean unimpaired seasonal runoff, for the period 1894 to the present are delineated on Plate 4, "Representative Runoff Characteristics in Southern California", for four selected streams.

### Discharge to the Ocean

The subnormal water supply for the 1959-60 season and the conservation activities of local agencies resulted in the discharge of only 103,520 acre feet to the ocean from major streams in Southern California during the 1959-60 season. This is a reduction of about 25,000 acre feet from the 1958-59 seasonal total of 128,213 acre feet. For the most part, the discharge to the ocean represented runoff from urban areas near the coast where conservation is not economically feasible plus industrial waste which is discharged to water courses in the coastal area.

Data on discharge to the ocean during the 1959-60 season, from 17 streams which drain the major portion of coastal Southern California, are presented in Table 10. For comparative purposes, the discharge from these streams for the preceding year is also shown.

### Storage in Surface Reservoirs

As the prolonged drought continued through the 1959-60 season, there was a general decrease in the volume of water stored in reservoirs in Southern California. All reservoirs in which there was an increase in the amount of water stored were used in the regulation of imported water. Data on storage in selected surface reservoirs located in Southern California, or supplying water to that area, are presented in Table 11. Data are shown for October 1, 1959, and October 1, 1960.

TABLE 10

ESTIMATED SEASONAL DISCHARGE TO THE OCEAN
FROM SELECTED STREAMS IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
DURING 1958-59 AND 1959-60

Stream	:	Discharge,	in	acre-feet
2 cr equi	:	1958-59	:	1959-60
Central Coastal Region				
Santa Maria River		0		0
Santa Ynez River		11,960		170
Los Angeles Region				
Ventura River		5,960		1,370
Santa Clara River		19,310		330
Ballona Creek		17,170		22,250
Dominguez Channel		13,270		15,790
Los Angeles River		49,390		49,110
Los Cerritos Channel		2,410		3,780
San Gabriel River		5,750*		6,280*
				•
Santa Ana Region		21.0		(50
Santa Ana River		340		650
Santa Ana Delhi Drain Peters Canyon Drain		790 240		1,310 820
recers canyon brain		240		020
San Diego Region				
Aliso Creek		3		10
Trabuco Creek		30		100
San Juan Creek		1,590		1,550
Santa Margarita River		0		0
San Luis Rey River		0		0
TOTALS		128,213		103,520

<sup>\*</sup> Includes discharge from Coyote Creek.

In Coastal Southern California, 239,360 acre-feet of local water was stored in those reservoirs having an individual storage capacity of 10,000 acre-feet or more, as of October 1, 1960. This amounted to about 18 percent of total storage capacity, and was slightly less than the volume of water stored on October 1, 1959, when these reservoirs were filled to about 23 percent of their capacity. Reservoirs storing only imported water, or a mixture of imported and local waters, contained 316,100 acre-feet, or 48 percent of capacity on October 1, 1960, as compared with 53 percent of capacity on October 1, 1959.

Water in storage in San Diego County decreased from 99,920 acre-feet on October 1, 1959, to 90,030 acre-feet, or 13 percent of capacity, on October 1, 1960. This decrease in amount of water stored occurred despite the importation of 165,260 acre-feet of Colorado River water during the year.

Total storage of water in the three major reservoirs of the Los

Angeles Department of Water and Power in the Owens Valley decreased from 60

percent of capacity on October 1, 1959, to 49 percent of capacity on October 1,

1960. These reservoirs are primarily used for the regulation of flow through

the Los Angeles Aqueduct.

Water storage in Lake Mead on the Colorado River was 19,940,000 acre-feet on October 1, 1960. This indicated a decrease in the amount stored of less than one-half of one percent during the 1959-60 water year.

The water surface elevation of the Salton Sea as of October 1, 1960, was 234.0 feet below sea level, reflecting an increase of 0.8 foot in elevation since October 1, 1959. The Salton Sea is the collection point of an internal drainage basin covering 7,500 square miles. Contributions to the sea, which covers 349 square miles, include runoff from the entire basin and

TABLE 11

WATER IN STORAGE IN SELECTED SURFACE RESERVOIRS IN, OR SUPPLYING WATER TO, SOUTHERN CALLFORNIA ON OCTOBER 1, 1959 AND OCTOBER 1, 1960

Watershed	Reservoir	: Capacity,	Water in storage, in acre-feet	storage, -feet	: Water in in per capa	Water in storage, in percent of capacity
		: in acre-feet :	October 1, 1959	October 1, 1960	October 1, 1959	: October 1, 1960
Central Coastal Region Cuyama Santa Ynez River	Twitchell Gibraltar	239,000	0	0	0 (5	O 00
	Cachuma	206, 500	187,090	163,070	91	62
Los Angeles Region Coyote Creek	Casitas	250,000	6,450	5,610	ന	Q
Piru Creek	Lake Piru	100,000	6,390	1,560	<b>ν</b> 6	0 0
San Gabriel River	Morris	35,170	55,420 22,120	53,970 22,410	63	933
Lahontan Region	Grant Take 8	μ7. 530	0η <b>6</b> 21	029 01	Ye	CC
Owens River	Long Valleya	183,470	115,760	89,140	63	64
Rose Valley	(Lake Crowley) Haiwee <sup>a</sup>	58,530	41,920	42,990	72	73
Colorado River Basin Region			•			
Colorado River	Lake Mead Lake Mohave Take Havaen	27, 207, 000 1,810,000 688,000	20,036,000 1,385,700 555,400	19,940,000 1,465,000 557,000	77 7.2	81 81 81
			277	00061//	d	d O

WATER IN STORAGE IN SELECTED SURFACE RESERVOIRS IN, OR SUPPLYING WATER TO, SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ON OCTOBER 1, 1959 AND OCTOBER 1, 1960 (continued)

			\$ (+6]		. Water in	Water in storage,
Watershed	Reservoir	. Capacity,	nater in storage, in acre-feet	Ceet	capacity	capacity
		: in acre-leet :	1, 1959	1, 1960	. 1, 1959	: 0ctober : 1, 1960
Santa Ana Region Bear Creek	Bear Valley	72,170	13,150	6,780		6
San Jacinto River	~ ~	13,400	5,120	3,350	<sup>10</sup> 30	, <mark>R</mark>
Cajalco Creek	Lake Mathews	100,000	56,9800	61, 780 <sup>b</sup>		62 62
Santiago Creek	Santiago	25,000	10,2100	3,820°		15
San Diego Region Temecula Creek	Vail	49, 500	3,110	1,090	V	۵
San Luis Rey River	Lake Henshaw	194,320	3,750	930	) N	0.5
Santa Ysabel Creek	Sutherland	29,680	6,270	3,080		11
San Dieguito River	Lake Hodges	33,550	2,500°	$3,710^{c}$	7	11
San Vicente Creek	San Vicente Lake	90,230	48,950c	51,030 <sup>c</sup>		26
Boulder Creek	Cuyamaca	11,600	0	0	0	0
San Diego River	El Capitan Lake	112,810	22,830	14,470	8	13
Sweetwater River	Lake Loveland	25,390	790	1,070	ωď	4 0
Cottonwood Creek	Morena Lake	50,210	880	810	o a	10
Otay River	Barrett Lake	44,760	1,130	1,210	N	m
	Lower Otay Lake	56,520	5,580	1,060	10	7

Component of the Aqueduct System of the City of Los Angeles. ထွည် ပ

Includes Colorado River water imported via Colorado River and San Diego Aqueducts. Includes Colorado River water imported via Colorado River Aqueduct.

poor quality irrigation drainage waters from irrigated lands in the Coachella, Imperial, and Mexicali Valleys.

### Colorado River Diversions

The total diversion of water from the Colorado River by principal water agencies in California amounted to approximately 4,787,000 acre-feet during the 1959-60 water year. This represents an increase of four percent over the previous year. The volume of water diverted by each of the principal diversion agencies in California during the 1958-59 and 1959-60 water years is presented in Table 12.

QUANTITIES AND PERCENT CHANGE IN AMOUNT OF WATER DIVERTED FROM THE COLORADO RIVER FOR USE IN CALIFORNIA DURING 1958-59 AND 1959-60

Agency	: Diversion, : 1958-59	in acre-feet: 1959-60	Percent change
The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Palo Verde Irrigation District Imperial Irrigation District Coachella Valley County Water	655,950 448,210 2,940,210	839,760 377,190 3,024,080	+ 28.0
District Yuma Project (Reservation	508,000	502,500	- 1.1
Division)	35,790	43,100	+ 20.4
TOTALS	4,588,160	4,786,630	+ 4.3

### Importation to Coastal Southern California

The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California and the City of Los Angeles, Department of Water and Power imported a total of 1,158,000 acre-feet of water to coastal Southern California during the 1959-60 season. This represents an increase of 167,000 acre-feet over the volume imported during the 1958-59 water year. Data on historical amounts of water imported to Coastal Southern California are presented graphically on Plate 5, "Historical Importations of Water to Coastal Southern California".

Deliveries through the Colorado River Aqueduct, measured at the Hayfield Pumping Plant, the fourth plant located about 125 miles from the start of the aqueduct, totaled about 822,000 acre-feet. This was about 175,000 acre-feet greater than that imported during 1958-59, an increase of 27 percent. Deliveries of water to member agencies of The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California totaled about 801,000 acre-feet during the water year, an increase of about 31 percent over the 1958-59 season. Data on volumes of Colorado River water delivered to each of the coastal counties during 1958-59 and 1959-60 are presented in Table 13. The difference in data between the volume of imported water measured at the Hayfield Pumping Plant and the deliveries to the various counties shown in Table 13 is accounted for by an increase of about 5,000 acre-feet of water stored in Lake Mathews and by unavoidable aqueduct and distribution system losses.

Table 13 indicates substantial increases in the deliveries to San Diego and Orange Counties. The completion of the major portion of the second San Diego Aqueduct permitted the increased delivery of water to San Diego County, while increased spreading by the Orange County Municipal Water District accounts for essentially all of the added deliveries to Orange County.

TABLE 13

COLORADO RIVER WATER

IMPORTED TO COUNTIES IN COASTAL SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
DURING 1958-59 AND 1959-60

County	: Seasonal import, : 1958-59 :		: Percent : change
Los Angeles County San Diego County Orange County Riverside County San Bernardino County	316,250 110,650 138,450 39,900 4,050	352,700 165,260 236,130 42,900 3,620	+ 11.5 + 49.4 + 70.6 + 7.5 - 10.6
TOTALS	609,300	800,610	+ 31.4

A total of 336,000 acre-feet of water, which is the estimated flow from Fairmont Reservoir, was imported into the Los Angeles area from the Owens River-Mono Basin area through the aqueduct of the City of Los Angeles, Department of Water and Power. As previously indicated this flow included extractions of ground water from the Owens Valley for the first time since 1932. The aqueduct was operated at capacity during the entire 1959-60 water year, with the exception of short periods of shutdown for routine maintenance and inspection.

### Sewage Discharge to Saline Waters

During the 1959-60 fiscal year, approximately 720,000 acre-feet of sewage effluent were discharged into the Pacific Ocean and its tidal estuaries through the 11 outfalls which dispose of essentially all such waste along the coast of Southern California. Table 14 indicates the amount of effluent discharged through each outfall for 1959-60, and compares it with the disposal figure for 1958-59. The totals in this table indicate that the 1959-60 discharge was four percent greater than that observed during the 1958-59 year. This probably reflects the increased water use of the expanding population

TABLE 14

SEWAGE DISCHARGED TO OCEAN WATERS IN 1958-59 AND 1959-60
THROUGH MAJOR DISPOSAL FACILITIES IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

Station		in acre-feet	
Beacton	: 1958-59	: 1959-60	:charge
City of Santa Barbara	5,240	5,570	+ 6.3
City of Ventura	4,000	2,680	- 33.0
City of Oxnard	3,320	3,740	+ 12.7
City of Los Angeles			
Hyperion	293,790	290,680	- 1.1
Terminal Island	6,950	7,160	+ 3.0
County Sanitation Districts			
of Los Angeles County	263,650	289,170	+ 9.7
County Sanitation Districts			
of Orange County	53,850	60,260	+ 11.9
City of Oceanside	2,050	0a	-100.0
City of San Diego	51,500	53,680	+ 4.2
Coronado Island	2,020	2,020	0
City of Chula Vista	2,800	3,090	+ 10.4
International Outfall Sewer	4,980	4,920	- 1.2
TOTALS	694,150	722,970	+ 4.1

a. City of Oceanside reclaimed 2,375 acre-feet of sewage effluent for agricultural use and ground water replenishment in Mission Basin.

concurrent with an increased per capita use of water and the extension of sewers into urban areas formerly served by cesspools and septic tanks.

The only two major decreases in discharge were shown by the Cities of Ventura and Oceanside. The decrease shown by the City of Ventura was a return to normal after an inflated discharge figure for 1958-59, as the result of the infiltration into the sewer lines of flow in the Ventura River. The zero discharge figure for the City of Oceanside is the result of reclamation of the sewage effluent. A portion of the reclaimed water was used for direct irrigation while the remainder was percolated for replenishment of ground water in the mission San Luis Rey Basin.

### CHAPTER III. GROUND WATER SUPPLY CONDITIONS

As stated in Chapter II, the 1959-60 water crop was far below normal throughout Southern California. A comparison of ground water level measurements taken in the spring of 1959 and the spring of 1960 shows a drop in water surface elevation in most ground water basins. However, artificial recharge activities have arrested these declines in a number of basins. This chapter presents a brief summary of ground water supply conditions in many of the ground water basins in the Southern District during the 1959-60 season. Artificial recharge activities are also summarized.

### Ground Water Conditions

When precipitation and surface runoff are subnormal ground water levels generally decline, thus the 1959-60 season in Southern California saw a continuation of the trend of general decline in ground water levels, and conditions favorable to sea-water intrusion were intensified in some of the coastal basins.

Discussions of ground water conditions based on spring 1959 to spring 1960 data for the various regions and basins in the Southern California area are presented in the following sections. Water Pollution Control Board regions, ground water basins, and well locations are shown on Plates 6 through 11, and the fluctuation of ground water elevations of selected wells are shown by the hydrographs presented on Plates 12A and 12B.

Central Coastal Region (No. 3) (Santa Barbara and San Luis Obispo Counties)

Ground water levels generally decreased in elevation in ground water basins in the San Luis Obispo and Santa Barbara Counties segment of the Central Coastal Region between the spring of 1959 and the spring of

1960. Observed ground water elevations were generally above sea level in all areas within the region, except for the south coast basins of Santa Barbara County.

Available ground water level records for the Central Coastal Region, for the period July 1959 through June 1960, are tabulated in Volume II, Appendix A. The estimated average changes in ground water level elevations for selected basins in this region are given in Table 15. The locations of selected wells at which ground water level fluctuations have been obtained for hydrograph presented on Plate 12A are shown on Plate 6, "Location of Wells at which Water Level Fluctuations are Shown - Central Coastal Region (No. 3)".

In the Upper Salinas Valley, ground water levels in the Paso Robles Basin declined an average of two and one-half feet. Depths to ground water ranged from flowing at a well one mile southwest of Linne, to 291 feet below the ground surface two miles east of Paso Robles.

In the Arroyo Grande Basin ground water level observations indicated that generally the ground water declined an average of three feet.

Depth to ground water ranged from seven feet below ground surface three miles south of Oceano, to 89 feet below ground surface one mile north of Oceano.

Ground water levels declined an average of about six and one-half feet in the Santa Maria Valley. Observed depths to ground water varied from 14 feet below ground surface one-half mile west of Bromela, to 293 feet below ground surface two miles southeast of Nipomo.

Ground water levels declined in all basins in the Santa Ynez River Valley, varying from an average decline of about one-half foot in the Headwater Subarea, to a decline of about three feet in the Lompoc Subarea.

AVERAGE CHANGE IN GROUND WATER LEVEL ELEVATIONS IN SELECTED VALLEYS AND BASINS IN CENTRAL COASTAL REGION (NO.3) (SANTA BARBARA AND SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTIES) DURING 1959-60

	Hydrologic unit or basin	Number of wells considered:	: Average: Number of : change in wells : ground water considered: level during in : the year,	: Location and ob : of depth to : during 1959	Location and observed extremes of depth to ground water during 1959-60, in feet
		: enalysis :	in feet	: Maximum	: Minimum
3-4.00	Salinas Valley				
3-4.06	Paso Robles Basin	38	- 2-1/2	26S/12E-26Dl, M 291.1	27S/13E-21N1, M Flowing
3-8.00	San Luis Obispo Group				
3-8.01	Morro Basin	†	-12-1/2	29S/11E-19P1, M	29S/loE-25Dl, M
3-8.02	Chorro Basin	Т	÷	57.6 29S/11E-32J2, M 34.0	14.0 29S/11E-32J2, M 13.0
3-8.03	Los Osos Basin	m	CV I	30s/11E-7K1, M 42.4	30S/10E-13G1, M 17.1
3-8.04	San Luis Obispo Basin	72	0	31s/12E-28N1, M 36.3	31S/12E-4KI, M 11.0
3-8.05	Pismo Basin	a	+ 1/2	31S/13E-16N1, M 56.9	32S/12E-13R1, M 4.5
3-11.00	Arroyo Grande Group				
3-11.01	Arroyo Grande Basin	N	m 1	32S/13E-29N1, M 88.6	12N/35w-30P1, s 7.2

AVERAGE CHANGE IN GROUND WATER LEVEL ELEVATIONS IN SELECTED VALLEYS AND BASINS IN CENTRAL COASTAL REGION (NO. 3) (SANTA BARBARA AND SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTIES) DURING 1959-60 (continued)

Location and observed extremes of depth to ground water during 1959-60, in feet	: Minimum	11N/35W- 7RL, s 58.8	11N/35W-20El, S 13.6	7N/24W-13C2, S 24.1	8N/34W-16G1, s Flowing		7N/35W-35C4, s 3.2	6N/32W-17D3, S 3.8	6N/32W-12R2, s 3.0	6N/30W-29D3, s 6.2	6N/30W-24E1, S 2.6
: Location and c : of depth to : during 1955	Maximum	11N/35W-11B1, s 308.4	11N/34W-19Q1, s 293.1	9N/26W-4J1, S 294.6	8N/32W-35Ql, s 152.5		7N/34W-12El, S 306.0	6N/33W-7Al, s 55.0	6N/32W-2Q1, S 60.2	7N/30W-33M2, s 183.9	6N/30W-24H1, s 17.3
Number of : change in : wells : ground water : considered:level during : in : the year,		+ 1/2	- 6 1/2	- 5 1/2	- +		- 2 1/2	- 1/2	- 7	۲ .	- 1/2
: Number of : vells : considered: in	: analysis:	m	23	11	**		94	22	1.8	22	3
Hydrologic unit or basin		Nipomo Mesa Basin	Santa Maria River Valley	Cuyama River Valley	San Antonio Creek Valley	Santa Ynez River Valley	Lompoc Subarea	Santa Rita Subarea	Buellton Subarea	Santa Ynez Subarea	Headwater Subarea
Hydì		3-11.02	3-12.00	3-13.00	3-14.00	3-15.00	3-15.01	3-15.02	3-15.03	3-15.04	3-15.05

# AVERAGE CHANGE IN GROUND WATER LEVEL ELEVATIONS IN SELECTED VALLEYS AND BASINS IN CENTRAL COASTAL REGION (NO. 3) (SANTA BARBARA AND SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTIES) DURING 1959-60 (continued)

Hy	Hydrologic unit or basin	: Average :Number of : change in : wells :ground water :considered:level during : in : the year, : analysis : in feet	: Average : change in :ground water :level during : the year, in feet	Location and observed extrod of depth to ground water during 1959-60, in feet	Location and observed extremes of depth to ground water during 1959-60, in feet
3-16.00	South Coast Basins (Santa Barbara Co.)				
3-16.01	Goleta Basin	19	- 2 1/2	4N/27w-699, S 248.9	4N/28W-17R1, S 0.8
3-16.02	Santa Barbara	m		4N/27W-7J2, S 100.2	4N/27w-18R2, S 35.1
3-16.04	Carpinteria Basin	18	†7 -	4N/25W-26C2, S 296.3	4N/25W-30Dl, S 5.4
3-19.00	Carrizo Plain	ĸ	+ 1 1/2	29S/17E-13Rl, M 35.1	30S/18E-2N1, M 17.2
3-21.00	Cambria Group				
3-21.03	San Simeon Basin	п	١ ٦	27s/08E-9Ll, M 12.7	27s/08E-911, M 9.1
3-21.04	Santa Rosa Basin	ଧ	0	275/08E-24Jl, M 27.5	27s/08E-26Cl, M 7.0
3-21.05	Villa Basin	٦	- 1/2	28S/09E-23E2, M 20.0	28s/09E-23E2, M 19.5

AVERAGE CHANGE IN GROUND WATER LEVEL ELEVATIONS IN SELECTED VALLEYS AND BASINS IN CENTRAL COASTAL REGION (NO. 3) (SANTA BARBARA AND SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTIES) DURING 1959-60 (continued)

Hyd	Hydrologic unit or basin	: Number of : change in : wells : ground water: considered: level during: in the year.	<pre>wells : change in : wells : ground water: onsidered:level during: in the year.</pre>		Location and observed extremes of depth to ground water during 1959-60, in feet
		Si	in feet	Maximum	: Minimum
3-21.07	Old Basin	٦	-14 1/2	28s/10E-34N3,M 37.0	28s/10E-34N3,M 18.5
3-21.08	Toro Basin	н	+ 1/2	29S/10E-1Pl,M 9.7	29S/10E- 1P1,M 7.1

In the South Coast Basins of Santa Barbara County observed ground water levels showed slight declines. Static water levels at many of the wells in the Goleta and Carpinteria Basins were below sea level during the entire season, and conditions favorable to sea-water intrusion continued. Minimum observed ground water level elevations were 41 feet below sea level in the Goleta Basin and 33 feet below sea level in the Carpinteria Basin.

### Los Angeles Region (No. 4)

Ground water levels in this region generally declined between the spring of 1959 and the spring of 1960 with an extreme average decline of 44 feet being observed in the Ojai Valley in Ventura County. There were numerous basins having average declines of more than 10 feet, however, several basins showed slight increases in ground water elevations, due primarily to artificial recharge activities. Ground water levels in most of the coastal ground water basins remained below sea level during the entire year, and conditions favorable to sea-water intrusion prevailed.

The estimated average change in ground water elevations for selected ground water basins in the Los Angeles Region is presented in Table 16, and a complete tabulation of ground water levels for this region for the period July 1959 through June 1960 is presented in Volume II, Appendix B. Historical fluctuations of ground water levels at selected wells, whose location is shown on Plate 7, "Location of Wells at Which Water Level Fluctuations are Shown -- Los Angeles Region (No. 4)", are graphically indicated on Plate 12A.

In Ventura County, ground water level observations indicate a substantial decline in ground water elevations. Declines were especially noticeable in Ojai Valley, Oxnard Plain Forebay Area, Oxnard Plain Pressure

TABLE 16

AVERAGE CHANGES IN GROUND WATER LEVEL ELEVATIONS IN SELECTED VALLEYS AND BASINS IN LOS ANGELES REGION (NO. 4) DURING 1959-60

		: Number of	Average :	Location and ob	Location and observed extremes
Hyd	Hydrologic unit or basin	: wells : ground water: considered: level during:	:ground water:	of depth to during 1959	of depth to ground water during 1959-60, in feet
		: u; :	the year, :		
		: analysis :	in feet :	Maximum	: Minimum
η-1.00	Upper Ojai Valley	м	- 7	4N/22W-12F1, S 148.8	4N/22W-10K2, S 18.2
4-2.00	Ojai Valley	61	-44	4N/22w- 5J6, S 254.1	4N/23W-12B1, S Flowing
4-3.00	Ventura River Valley				
4-3.02	Upper Ventura River Basin	78	- 2-1/2	4N/23W-21C5, S 164.6	4N/23W-21F2, S 3.5
4-4.00	Santa Clara River Valley				
4-4.01	Oxnard Plain Pressure	₹	-13	IN/21W- 4Pl, S	1N/22W-17D2, S
4-4.02	Oxnard Plain Forebay	23	-31-1/2	2N/22W-12K2, S	SN/22W-11B1, S
4-4.03	Area Mound Pressure Area	28	- 7-1/2	2N/22W- 9K3, S	ZN/23W-11N1, S
4-4.04	Santa Paula Basin	94	- 1-1/2	250.1 2N/22W- 3M2, S	2N/22W-2K4, S
4-4.05	Fillmore Basin	58	80	232.0 4N/20W-31H1, S	3.0/20W- 8F2, S
90.4-4	Piru Basin	54	-22-1/2	λου. 4N/14W-20M1, S	5.1 4N/19W-33K5, S
4-4.07	Eastern Basin	15	- 7-1/2	5N/14W-30R1, S 220.0	4N/17W-15N1, S Flowing

AVERAGE CHANGES IN GROUND WATER LEVEL ELEVATIONS IN SELECTED VALLEYS AND BASINS IN LOS ANGELES REGION (NO. 4) DURING 1959-60 (continued)

Hyd	Hydrologic unit or basin	1 70	Average change in ground water level during: the year,	Loca	tion and observed extre f depth to ground water during 1959-60, in feet	l extremes l water in feet
		: analysis :	in feet :	Maximum	••	Minimum
η-6.00	Pleasant Valley	148	- 9-1/2	2N/21W-24F1, S 367.9		2N/21w-35c1, s 31.3
4-7.00	Arroyo Santa Rosa Valley	23	- 8-1/2	2N/19W-21H1, S 269.0		2N/19W-19L1, S 49.1
4-8.00	Las Posas Valley					
4-8.01	West Las Posas Basin	80	-10	31,	s 2N/2	ZN/ZIW-16J1, S
4-8.02	East Las Posas Basin	52	- 2-1/2	3N/20W-33M2, 542.0	s zn/1	93.4 2N/19W- 3A4, S 33.8
η-9.00	Simi Valley	70	- 1-1/2	2N/18W- 1F1, 321.0	S 2N/1	2N/18w- 7R2, S 6.2
4-10.00	Conejo Valley	8	- 5-1/2	1N/19W- 9H2, S 240.7		1N/19W-15E2, S 2.5
4-11.00	Coastal Plain (Los Angeles County)					
4-11.01	Santa Monica Basin	04	CV I	31,	s 2S/1	2S/15W-27L2, S
4-11.02	West Coast Basin	211	- 1/2	2N/19W-33H1, S 274.8		3.5 58/13w- 3Q2, s 1.7

AVERAGE CHANGES IN GROUND WATER LEVEL ELEVATIONS IN SELECTED VALLEYS AND BASINS IN LOS ANGELES REGION (NO. 4)
DURING 1959-60
(continued)

		: :Number of :	: Average : change in :	Location and o	Location and observed extremes
Hydro	Hydrologic unit or basin	<pre>: wells :ground water: :considered:level during: : in : the year, :</pre>	<pre>:ground water: :level during: : the year, :</pre>	of depth to during 195	of depth to ground water during 1959-60, in feet
		: analysis :	in feet :	Maximum	: Minimum
4-11.03	Central Basin Pressure	263	- 1-1/2	38/13W-34G1, S	58/12W-11D1, S
4-11.04	Los Angeles Forebay	94	- 1-1/2	2S/13W- 2M1, S	1S/13W-35F1, S
4-11.05	Montebello Forebay	136	- 8-1/2	18/12W-33P2, S	2S/11W- 6M1, S
4-11.06	Hollywood Basin	1	- 1/2	18/14W-18J1, S	13/144-1906, s
4-11.08	Area Whittier Area	Φ	- 2-1/2	38/11W-12P1, S 282.0	38/11W- 1P2, S 28.9
4-12.00	San Fernando Valley				
4-12.01	San Fernando Basin	130	- 6-1/2	1N/14W-13R1, S	2N/16W-34G1, S
4-12.02	Bull Canyon Basin	٦	+ 1/2	2N/16W- 2G1, S	Flowing 2N/16W- 2G1, S
4-12.03	Sylmar Basin	14	- 2	3N/15W-26G1, S	3N/15W-34P7, S
4-12.05	Tujunga Basin	50	+11-1/2	2N/13W-18N1, S	$2N/1$ \text{\mathbb{H}}\text{W-1}\text{\mathbb{H}}\text{B1, S}
4-12,07	Verdugo Basin	16	+	2N/13W-27N1, S 170.7	1./ 1N/13W-10Q1, S 20.9

## AVERAGE CHANGES IN GROUND WATER LEVEL ELEVATIONS IN SELECTED VALIEYS AND BASINS IN LOS ANGELES REGION (NO. 4) DURING 1959-60 (continued)

Hydro	Hydrologic unit or basin	: Average :Number of : change in : wells :ground water :considered:level during: : in : the year,	change in : ground water: level during: the year, :	Location and ob of depth to during 1959	Location and observed extremes of depth to ground water during 1959-60, in feet
		: analysis :	in feet :	Maximum	: Minimum
4-13.00	San Gabriel Valley				
4-13.01	Main San Gabriel Basin	181	- 7-1/2	18/12W- 3M1, S	1S/12W-24B3, S
4-13.02	Monk Hill Basin	18	-15	1N/12W- 6D2, S	1N/12W-17D1, S
4-13.03	Pasadena Subarea	745	- 5	309.2 LN/12W-23Gl, S	11/11W-29K1, S
4-13.04	Santa Anita Subarea	12	-16-1/2	342.4 1N/11W-21C2, S	rlowing 1N/11W-15L2, S
4-13.05	Upper Canyon Basin	11	-11-1/2	1N/10W-27J1, S	11.0 1N/10W-23A2, S
4-13.06	Lower Canyon Basin	2	-16-1/2	1N/10W-29J1, S	1N/10W-35B1, S
4-13.07	Glendora Basin	80	- 4-1/2	143.0 1N/ 9W-29Cl, S	2S/10W-15F4, S
4-13.08	Way Hill Basin	10	- 4-1/2	15/ 9W- 8C2, S	1S/ 9W- 3C6, S
4-13.09	San Dimas Basin	54	- 5-1/2	190.0 18/8W-6L2, S	18/ 9W-11G1, S
4-13.10	Foothill Basin	9	-12-1/2	1N/ 9W-35Q3, S	1N/ 9W-25K1, S
4-13.11	Spadra Basin	11	- 5	1s/ 9w-25g1, s	1S/ 9W-22J1, S
4-13.12	Puente Basin	32	+ 1-1/2	2S/10W-14M1, S 58.8	Flowing 2S/ 9W- 5N3, S 4.2

AVERAGE CHANGES IN GROUND WATER LEVEL ELEVATIONS IN SELECTED VALLEYS AND BASINS IN LOS ANGELES REGION (NO. 4) DURING 1959-60 (continued)

		Number of	Average :	Location and observed extremes	served extremes
Hydr	Hydrologic unit or basin	ಳ	:ground water:	of depth to ground water during 1959-60, in feet	f depth to ground water during 1959-60, in feet
		in :	the year,	Maximim	Mimim
		- 1			
4-14.00	Upper Santa Ana Valley (Los Angeles County)				
4-14.01	Chino Basin	12	+ 2-1/2	1S/ 8w-28G2, S	1s/ 8w-31J1, s
4-14.02	Pomona Basin	17	+ 1-1/2	18/ 8W-20B2, S	18/ 9W-11J1, S
4-14.03	Live Oak Basin	16	+ 3-1/2	18/8w-7D1, S	1N/ 8w-32P2, S
ηO•ηΓ-η	Claremont Heights Basin	27	-17-1/2	1s/ 8W-10Bl, s 435.0	ln/ 8w-23Jl, s 41.2
4-15.00	Tierra Rejada Valley	10	- 2-1/2	2N/19W-15N2, S 227.7	2N/19W-14P1, S 42.4
4-16.00	Malibu Coastal Group				
4-16.01	Hidden Valley Basin	18	-18	1N/20W-25C5, S	1N/19W-28M1, S
4-16.02	Russell Basin	8	-14-1/2	144.5 1N/19W-23C1, S	1N/19W-28A1, S
4-16,05	Arroyo Sequit Canyon Basin	α	+ 1-1/2	1S/20W-25E2, S	18/20W-25E1, S
4-16.09	Trancas Canyon Basin	4	- 1/2	55.0 18/19W-35F2, S	16/19W-35Q2, S
4-16.10	Zuma Canyon Basin	†	-13	2S/18W- 6E2, S 76.1	2S/18W- 6M2, S 47.9

## AVERAGE CHANGES IN GROUND WATER LEVEL ELEVATIONS IN SELECTED VALIEYS AND BASINS IN LOS ANGELES REGION (NO. 4) DURING 1959-60 (continued)

		: Average : Number of : change in wells : ground wate	Average change in ground water:	Location and of depth t	Location and observed extremes of depth to ground water
Hydro	HydroLogic unit or basin	<pre>:considered:level during: in   : the year, :</pre>	the year, :	during Ly	auring 1939-ou, in leet
		: analysis :	in feet :	Maximum	: Minimum
4-16.11	Ramera Canyon Basin	9	0	1s/18w-32P2, s	s 2s/18w-5c2, s
4-16.14	Solstice Canyon Basin	Н	0	1,	S 1S/18W-34H1, S
4-16,16	Malibu Creek Basin	8	+ 2-1/2	Π,	s 1s/17W-32L5, s
4-16.19	Las Flores Canyon Basin	~	+ 2-1/2		S 1S/17W-26E1, S
4-16.20	Piedra Gorda Canyon Basin	9	+ 8-1/2	τĺ	S 1S/17W-36H2, S
4-16.25	Las Virgenes Canyon Basin	Н	+ 1/2	1N/17W-31C2, S 37.8	IN/I

Area, and Piru and Hidden Valley Basins. Releases of approximately 17,500 acre-feet of water from Lake Piru to the stream bed of the Santa Clara River for delivery to spreading grounds in Piru Basin and the Oxnard Plain Forebay area prevented even larger declines of ground water levels in the basins in the Santa Clara River Valley.

Observed ground water levels in a portion of the Oxnard Plain Forebay area and piezometric levels (that is, the elevation to which ground water would rise in a well perforating an aquifer of confined ground water) throughout most of the Oxnard Plain Pressure area continued to be below sea level. This condition permitted the continued intrusion of sea water into the area. The extent of this intrusion is discussed in detail in Chapter IV.

Observed depths to ground water in Ventura County ranged from flowing at Ojai, to over 540 feet below the ground surface three miles north of Somis in the Las Posas Valley.

In the Coastal Plain of Los Angeles County, ground water levels declined in all basins, varying from a decline of less than one foot in the West Coast Basin to more than eight feet in the Montebello Forebay area. The declines in this area would probably have been considerably greater except for artificial recharge projects which were carried on throughout the year, particularly in the Montebello Forebay area.

Piezometric levels at wells throughout a large portion of the Coastal Plain continued to be below sea level. The lowest elevation of the depression in piezometric levels in the West Coast Basin in the spring of 1960 remained 80 to 90 feet below sea level or about the same depth as recorded one year ago. Observations of piezometric levels as much as 140

feet below sea level were noted east of the Dominguez Hills, in the Central Basin Pressure Area.

Observations of ground water levels in the San Fernando Valley indicated varying changes in ground water levels, ranging from a decline of six feet in the San Fernando Basin, to a rise of over eleven feet in Tujunga Basin. This indicated rise, however, is probably due to a reduction in the quantity of ground water extracted in that area by the Department of Water and Power of the City of Los Angeles, and reflects the tendency of ground water to return to static levels in areas where ground water levels have been depressed by pumping, when such pumping ceases.

Depths to ground water in the San Fernando Valley varied from flowing, about three-fourths of a mile northwest of Reseda, to more than 380 feet below the ground surface at Tujunga.

In the San Gabriel Valley observed ground water level elevations indicated a decline in every basin in the valley, with the exception of the Puente Basin. In the Main San Gabriel Basin, the largest ground water basin in the valley, the average decline was about eight feet, while the Puente Basin in the southern portion of the valley showed a rise of about one and one-half feet. Depths to ground water in the San Gabriel Valley ranged from flowing at the Los Angeles County Arboretum in the Raymond Basin, to more than 425 feet below the ground surface, one mile northeast of Glendora in the Glendora Basin.

In the Los Angeles County area of the Upper Santa Ana Valley, observed ground water levels indicated a rise of about two feet for the year in Chino and Pomona Basins, and a rise of in excess of three feet in Live Oak Basin. During this same period, a decline of approximately 17 feet occurred in the Claremont Heights Basin. This decline was due primarily to a reduction in the amount of water spread in San Antonio Creek.

### Lahontan Region (No. 6) - (Southern Portion)

There were only limited changes in the ground water levels in most ground water basins in the Lahontan Region between the spring of 1959 and the spring of 1960. Exceptions to this were a rise of four feet in the Rock Creek Basin and a five foot lowering in Neenach Basin. However, it should be emphasized that only a small number of wells were measured in many of the ground water basins in the Lahontan Region, and the averages of the changes in ground water level elevations may not truly reflect ground water conditions. In the Lancaster Basin, the most developed basin in the region, ground water level measurements at 66 wells indicated a decline of about one foot. During the same period ground water levels in the highly developed Lower Mojave and Harper Valleys declined an average of about two feet and one foot respectively. Observed depths to ground water in this region varied from flowing, six miles east of Lockhart in Harper Valley, to more than 425 feet below the ground surface three miles northeast of Valyermo in the Rock Creek Basin.

All available ground water level data for the Lahontan Region for the 1959-60 season are tabulated in Volume III, Appendix C, and a summary of these data is presented in Table 17. Historical changes in ground water elevations at selected wells in ground water basins in the southern portion of Lahontan Region are given on Plate 12B, and the locations of these wells are shown on Plate 8 "Location of Wells at Which Water Level Fluctuations are Shown -- Lahontan Region (No. 6)".

### Colorado River Basin Region (No. 7)

Observed depths to ground water, in those basins for which data are available, indicated no pronounced trend of rise or decline in ground

TABLE 17

AVERAGE CHANGES IN GROUND WATER LEVEL ELEVATIONS IN SELECTED VALLEYS AND BASINS IN LAHONTAN REGION (NO. 6) (SOUTHERN PORTION)

DURING 1959-60

Hy	Hydrologic unit or basin	1 77	Average: change in ground water: level during: the year,	Loca	ation and observed extremes of depth to ground water during 1959-60, in feet
		: analysis :	in feet	: Maximum	: Minimum
6-20.00	Middle Amargosa Valley		CJ I	22N/ 7E-1311, S 24.4	22N/ 7E-13LL, S 24.4
6-22.00	Upper Kingston Valley	a	0	16N/12E-26N1, S 46.0	14N/13E-13H1, S 14.4
6-28.00	Pahrump Valley	ľ	+ 1-1/2	21N/10E-4L1, S 164.3	20S/52E- 6Rl, M 10.4
6-29.00	Mesquite Valley	9	+ 1/2	20N/12E-19F1, S 129.3	19N/12E-11B1, S 30.8
6-30.00	Ivanpah Valley	<b>ι</b> Λ	+ 2-1/2	15N/15E-13G1, s 368.5	16N/14E-31EL, S 15.3
6-31.00	Kelso Valley	Т	<del></del> +	11N/12E-25G2, S 420.2	11N/12E-25G2, S 420.2
6-33.00	Soda Lake Valley	<b>†</b>	0	14N/ 9E-30G2, S 77.4	12N/8E-27X2, S 19.3
00.48-9	Silver Lake Valley	П	0	15N/8E-22R1, S 56.0	15N/8E-22R1, S 56.0

AVERAGE CHANGES IN GROUND WATER LEVEL ELEVATIONS IN SELECTED VALLEYS AND BASINS IN LAHONTAN REGION (NO. 6)

(SOUTHERN PORTION)
DURING 1959-60
(continued)

	Hydrologic unit or basin	: :Number of : wells : :considered: in :	Number of : Average : : wells :ground water: considered:level during: in : the year, :	and c th to	erved extremes round water 60, in feet
		: analysis :	in feet :	Maximum	Minimum
00-32-00	Cronise Valley	m	- 1/2	12N/ 7E-30J1, S 48.3	12N/ 7E-18R2, S 15.2
6-37.00	Coyote Lake Valley	72	0	12N/ 2E-31A1, S 55.9	llN/ 2E- 8Kl, s Flowing
6-38.00	Caves Canyon Valley	ч	+ 2-1/2	11N/5E-15G1, s 188.6	11N/5E-15G1, S 186.0
00.66-9	Troy Valley	m	+ 1/2	8N/ 4E-12N1, S 32.6	8N/3E-4B3, S 8.6
00.04-9	Lower Mojave River Valley	22	CV s	9N/1W-10D2, S 148.3	9N/3E-19P1, S 10.4
00.14-9	Middle Mojave River Valley	Φ	- 1-1/2	8N/ 6W-15H1, s 141.7	8N/ 4W-31R1, s 9.7
6-42.00	Upper Mojave River Valley	36	+ 1/2	μN/ 5W-22Hl, S 670.8	3N/ 4W-13B1, S 5.1
6-43.00	El Mirage Valley	9	+ 1/2	5N/ 7W- 9H1, S 285.7	6N/ 7W-12N1, S 20.4

### AVERAGE CHANGES IN GROUND WATER LEVEL ELEVATIONS IN SELECTED VALLEYS AND BASINS IN LAHONTAN REGION (No. 6) (SOUTHERN PORTION) DURING 1959-60 (continued)

Hyd:	Hydrologic unit or basin	: Average :	Average : change in : ground water: level during: the year, :	Location and observed extremes of depth to ground water during 1959-60, in feet	erved extremes round water 60, in feet
		: analysis :	in feet :	Maximum :	Minimm
00.44-9	Antelope Valley				
6-44.01	Neenach Basin	10	- 5	8N/15W-33G1, S	8N/16W-26G1, S
6-44.02	Willow Springs Basin	2	0	20,505 11N/13W-29M1, S	9N/13W- 701, S
40.44-9	Chaffee Basin	CU	8 -	555.0 11N/11W- 8D1, S	26.0 11N/12W-26J1, S
9-44-05	Lancaster Basin	99	- 1	6N/12W-24Cl, S	5N/12W- 2K1, S
90.44-9	Buttes Basin	$\sim$	- 2-1/2	512.9 6N/11W-21E1, S	5N/12W-12A2, S
L0.44-6	Rock Creek Basin	9	7 +	5N/9W-34Dl, S	μν/ 9w- 6q1, s
90.44.08	North Muroc Basin	†	0	11N/8W-35DL, S 174.3	0.3 10N/9W-24A2, S 72.7
00.94-9	Fremont Valley	6	<b>⊢</b>	31 <b>S</b> /37E-22Q1, M 298.2	30s/37E-36G1, M 40.6
00.74-9	Harper Valley	1.7	- 1/2	10N/5W-3J1, S 225.3	llN/ 3W-30Al, S Flowing

AVERAGE CHANGES IN GROUND WATER LEVEL ELEVATIONS IN SELECTED VALLEYS AND BASINS IN LAHONTAN REGION (NO. 6) (SOUTHERN PORTION)

DURING 1959-60
(continued)

	Hydrologic unit or basin	Number of change in wells ground water: considered:level during: in the year: analysis: in feet:	Number of : change in : wells : ground water: considered: level during: in the year : analysis : in feet :	Location and observed extremes of depth to ground water during 1959-60, in feet	rved extremes ound water 0, in feet
6-49.00	Superior Valley	α	+ 1/2	31s/45E- 1C1, M 117.5	31S/46E- 2ML, M 99.0
6-50.00	Cuddeback Valley	Ю	+ 1/2	30 <b>s</b> /42E-2411, M 147.5	31s/42E- 5Rl, M 57.9
6-54.00	Indian Wells Valley	ત	2	26s/39E-20ql, м 218.7	26s/40E-28Jl, M 106.1

water levels throughout the region. However, in most of the ground water basins for which data are available only a few wells were measured, so that the indicated values may not truly reflect the actual ground water conditions. In Coachella Valley an average rise in ground water levels of about 2.5 feet was observed. A rise of 6.5 feet was noted in Fenner Valley; however, this was based on observations made at only three wells. Depths to ground water in the Colorado River Basin Region varied from flowing wells located in the Coachella Valley southeast of the town of Coachella, to more than 520 feet below the ground surface in a well located about one mile northeast of Palm Springs.

All available ground water level data for the Colorado River Basin Region for the period July 1959 through June 1960 are tabulated in Volume 3, Appendix D, and summarized by ground water basin in Table 18. The historical ground water levels for well 10S/6E-21Al located in Borego Valley, are represented by a hydrograph on Plate 12B. The location of this well is shown on Plate 9 "Location of Wells at Which Water Level Fluctuations are Shown -- Colorado River Basin Region (No. 7)".

### Santa Ana Region (No. 8)

Ground water level elevations in the Santa Ana Region declined in 16 of 23 basins between the spring of 1959 and the spring of 1960, reflecting the effects of another subnormal precipitation year. Artificial recharge activities apparently impeded the rate of decline in some areas and caused a rise in ground water levels in other areas.

Available ground water level measurements for the Santa Ana Region for the period July 1959 through June 1960 are tabulated in Volume III,

Appendix E, and the estimated average change in ground water level elevations

TABLE 18

AVERAGE CHANGES IN GROUND WATER LEVEL ELEVATIONS IN SELECTED VALLEYS AND BASINS IN COLORADO RIVER BASIN REGION (NO. 7) DURING 1959-60

	Hydrologic unit or basin	: Average :Number of : change in : wells :ground wate :considered:level durin : in : the year	: Average : Number of : change in : wells :ground water: considered:level during: in : the year, :	Location and observed extremes of depth to ground water during 1959-60, in feet	erved extremes round water 60, in feet
		: analysis :	in feet :	Maximum :	Minimum
7-1.00	Lanfair Valley	7	+	l2N/17E-17J1, S 430.6	14N/16E-22M1, S 15.3
7-2.00	Fenner Valley	К	+ 6-1/2	7N/15E-35R1, S 337.0	6N/16E- 6Kl, S 258.1
7-5.00	Chuckawalla Valley	†	- 2-1/2	5S/16E-25F1, S 139.8	4s/17E- 6cl, s 21.9
7-8.00	Bristol Valley	C	+ 1/2	5N/14W-15L1, S 211.3	6N/12E-29P1, s 149.9
7-9.00	Dale Valley	_	+ 1-1/2	1N/10E-36P1, S 333.9	1N/12E-21LL, S 9.9
7-10.00	Twentynine Palms Valley	38	0	1N/ 8E-11L1, S 376.6	2N/ 9E-30A2, S 0.3
7-11.00	Copper Mountain Valley	9	- 1/2	1N/ 7E-30P1, S 368.7	1N/ TE-26N1, S 169.1
7-12.00	) Warren Valley	7	8	1N/ 6E-29R2, S 258.2	1S/ 5E- 4R2, S 61.7
7-12.00	O Deadman Valley	CJ	۲ .	1N/ 6E- 4Q1, S 457.0	IN/ 6E- 902, S 262.5

AVERAGE CHANGES IN GROUND WATER LEVEL ELEVATIONS IN SELECTED VALLEYS AND BASINS IN COLORADO RIVER BASIN REGION (NO. 7) DURING 1959-60 (continued)

	Hydrologic unit or basin	: Average :	change in ground water:	Location and observed extremes of depth to ground water during 1959-60, in feet	erved extremes round water 60, in feet
		analysis:	in feet	Maximum :	Minimum
7-18.00	Johnson Valley	CJ	0	μN/ 3E-24Q1, S 55.3	μN/ ψΕ-19C1, S μ5.2
7-19.00	) Lucerne Valley	22	<b>г</b> +	6N/ 1E-31Q1, S 228.5	4N/ IW-14B1, S Flowing
7-20.00	) Morongo Valley	η	- 1/2	1S/ 4E-14N1, S 184.0	1S/ 4E-33C1, S 5.3
7-21.00	) Coachella Valley	39	+ 2-1/2	3S/ 4E-30C1, S 529.0	6s/ 8E- 5Rl, s Flowing
7-24.00	) Borrego Valley	6	- 2-1/2	10s/ 6E- 8B1, s 265.5	9S/ 6E-36A1, S 16.6
7-25.00	Ocotillo Valley	П	- 2-1/2	128/ 8E-22E1, S 108.6	12S/ 8E-22E1, S 108.6
7-27.00	San Felipe Valley	Н	+ 5-1/2	12S/ 5E-34J1, S 64.0	12S/ 4E-24K1, S 22.4

for selected ground water basins is presented in Table 19. Hydrographs of wells, which indicate long-term water level fluctuations in the region, are delineated on Plate 12B, and the location of these wells is shown on Plate 10 "Location of Wells at Which Water Level Fluctuations are Shown -- Santa Ana Region (No. 8)".

About 173,000 acre-feet of imported Colorado River water were spread for ground water replenishment in the Santa Ana Forebay ground water basin of Coastal Orange County during the 1959-60 water year. This represents an increase of about 100,000 acre-feet over the amount of imported water spread during the previous year. As a result of this activity, the average ground water level in this basin rose two and one-half feet between the spring of 1959 and the spring of 1960.

In the Irvine Basin an average increase of seven feet in the ground water levels was observed. This increase was primarily due to the increased use of surface deliveries of Colorado River water with a consequent decrease in ground water extractions, and to the spreading of 880 acre-feet of imported water.

The maximum observed depth to ground water in Orange County was about 350 feet, at a well located about two miles northeast of Orange in the Santa Ana Forebay Area of the Coastal Plain. Minimum depth to water of about 4 feet below the ground surface was observed at a well about 2 miles northwest of Newport Heights in the East Coastal Plain Pressure Area.

In the East Coastal Plain Pressure Area of Orange County, the average of observed piezometric levels remained unchanged despite the extensive spreading operations in the Santa Ana Forebay. The levels remained below sea level throughout most of the basin, reaching a maximum of about

AVERAGE CHANGES IN GROUND WATER LEVEL ELEVATIONS IN SELECTED VALLEYS AND BASINS IN SANTA ANA REGION (NO. 8) DURING 1959-60

Hydr	Hydrologic unit or basin	: Number of : wells : considered: in :	: Average :	nd observed h to ground 1959-60, i	extremes water feet
		: analysis :	in feet :	Maximum : Mi	Minimum
3-1.00	Coastal Plain (Orange County)	ty)			
8-1.01	East Coastal Plain	131	0	58/ 9W-35J1, S 68/10	6S/10W-20M1, S
8-1.02	Santa Ana Forebay Area	75	+ 2-1/2	/S† S	9W- 4G1, S
8-1.03	Irvine Basin	17	L +	E2, S 5S/	17.9 9W-15R3, S 1,c 1,
8-1.04	La Habra Basin		+ 1/2	1, s 3s/:	3s/low-low1, s
8-1.05	Yorba Linda Basin	8	٦-	KI, S 3S/	9W-34Cl, S
8-1.06	Santa Ana Narrows Basin	78	0	3s/ 9w-33K6, s 3s/ 775.1	7W-20L1, S 3.8
3-2.00	Upper Santa Ana Valley				
8-2.01	Chino Basin	169	- 1	1S/8W-12H1, S 2S/8	2S/8W-36Ql, S
8-2.02	Claremont Heights Basin	16	-36-1/2	rs, s 1s/	8W-2M3, S
8-2.03	Cucamonga Basin	16	CV +	IN/ 7W-29R3, S 1S/ 7	7W- 4E2, S
8-2.04	Rialto Basin	10	-22-1/2	Al, S 2N/	/ 6W-2611, S
8-2.05	Colton Basin	33	- 2-1/2	42, s 1s/	4W-22B6, S 19.0

AVERAGE CHANGES IN GROUND WATER LEVEL ELEVATIONS IN SELECTED VALLEYS AND BASINS IN SANTA ANA REGION (NO. 8)
DURING 1959-60
(continued)

		• •	Average :		
		: Number of : wells :	: change in :	Location and observed extremes of depth to ground water	rved extremes round water
Hy	Hydrologic unit or basin	red	Level during:	during 1959-60, in feet	60, in feet
		analvsis .	the year, :	Maximim :	Minimin
		•	- 1		A July 0 to the date (1/4 i to
8-2.06	Bunker Hill Basin	171	€ -	1N/ 3W-28P1, S	1S/ 4W-22H4, S
8-0.07	rised ofter	5.	-03	426.2 PN/KW-1501	Flowing Sulve Sulv
) O N - O	Ly tre Dasin	(T	(2)		120.0
8-2.10	Devil Canyon Basin	5	8 -	1N/ 4W- 8P1, S	1N/ 4W-14R8, S
8-2.12	Beaumont Basin	97	- 1-1/2	ß	13.3 2S/ 1W- 2J1, S
8-2.13	San Timoteo Basin	15	ή -	410.4 1S/ 3W-24R1, S	6.9 2S/ 2W-2OK1, S
8-0-8	Riveraide Reain	9	0	329.1 18/5W-34.1	35.7 28/5W-2042
1				226.0	6.1
8-2.16	Arlington Basin	9	- 1/2	l, S	2S/ 5W-31N1, S
8-2.17	Temescal Basin	58	+ 1/2	51.8 3S/ 7W-35Cl, S	6.3 3s/ 7w-21M3, s
(	,	-		193.3	1.9
0-2-10	Bedford Basin	7	+	45/ 6W-16C2, 5	45/ 6W-35GI, S
8-2.19	Coldwater Basin	2	-37-1/2	L, S	5s/ 6w- 2Pl, s
8-2.20	Lee Lake Basin	m	- 2-1/2	58/ 5W- 8Pl, S	5s/ 5w- 7cl, s
				64.1	10.5
8-4.00	Elsinore Valley	54	Ο 1	58/ 5W-34K1, S 334.1	6S/ 4w-28Ll, S 7.0
8-5.00	San Jacinto Valley	31	- 4-1/2	58/ 1E- 7K1, S 292.8	4S/ 1W-18L1, S

50 feet below sea level near the Orange County-Los Angeles County line. The continued existence of a gradient in the pressure surface downward inland from the coast provides conditions favorable for the intrusion of sea water. A further discussion of sea water intrusion in Orange County is presented in Chapter IV.

In the larger basins in the Upper Santa Ana Valley the ground water levels declined an average of one to four feet. In the northwestern portion of the Chino Basin about one mile west of Upland, ground water levels were more than 580 feet below the ground surface, while in the southwestern portion of the basin, about three miles southeast of Los Serranos, the depth to water from the ground surface was less than three feet. Spreading operations were carried on in the Upper Santa Ana Region with about 13,000 acrefeet of local water spread at twelve projects.

Ground water level elevations in a number of the smaller peripheral basins located adjacent to the San Gabriel mountains in the Upper Santa Ana Valley showed substantial declines. For example, in Claremont Heights Basin an average decline of 36 feet was noted, while in Lytle and Rialto Basins the declines were 23 and 22 feet respectively.

Ground water levels in the San Jacinto Valley continued to decline with an average drop of more than four feet being noted. Depths to ground water varied from a maximum of more than 290 feet two miles southeast of San Jacinto, to a minimum of seven feet below the ground surface south of Casa Loma. A hydrograph for well 4S/1W-35Ql in the San Jacinto Valley, depicting the historical changes in ground water elevations, is presented on Plate 12B.

### San Diego Region (No. 9)

Observed ground water levels in the San Diego Region indicate that average ground water elevations have declined in most basins. A significant exception was in Warner Valley, where observed ground water levels indicated a seventeen foot rise between the spring of 1959 and the spring of 1960. This rise can be attributed in part to a reduction in the quantity of ground water extracted in that area by the Vista Irrigation District.

Ground water elevations remained below sea level at a number of wells in the Mission Basin of the San Luis Rey River Valley and in the Tia Juana Basin, inviting further sea water intrusion in those basins. Ground water supply conditions in the vicinity of San Clemente continued to be critical, with sea water intrusion threatening to increase to a point where ground water would not be potable.

Available ground water level measurements for the San Diego Region,
July 1959 through June 1960, are tabulated in Volume III, Appendix F, and
pertinent statistics regarding ground water conditions are summarized in
Table 20. Hydrographs of ground water levels at selected wells in Region
9 are presented on Plate 12B, and the locations of these wells are shown
on Plate 11, "Location of Wells at which Water Level Fluctuations are Shown San Diego Region (No. 9)."

### Artificial Recharge

The replenishment of ground water basins by artificial recharge, as a means of conserving surface runoff and regulating imported water, is widely practiced in Southern California. During the 1959-60 water year a total of approximately 273,000 acre-feet of local and imported water was reported as being spread or injected at 51 projects. Approximately 211,000

AVERAGE CHANGES IN GROUND WATER LEVEL ELEVATIONS IN SELECTED VALLEYS AND BASINS IN SAN DIEGO REGION (NO. 9) DURING 1959-60

Hyc	Hydrologic unit or basin	: Average :	Average: change in: ground water: level during: the year,:	Location and ol of depth to during 195	Location and observed extremes of depth to ground water during 1959-60, in feet
		: analysis :	in feet :	Maximum	: Minimum
9-1.00	San Juan Valley				
9-1.01	Aliso Creek Basin	7	 +	6s/8W-26F4, S	6S/8W-24MI, S
9-1.02	San Juan Creek Basin	51	- 4-1/2	75/ 8W-25B2, S 65.4	8S/8W-13D1, S 4.4
9-5.00	Temecula Valley				
9-5.01	Murrieta Basin	7	- 4-1/2	68/ 4W-27MI, S	88/ 3W-13K1, S
9-5.02	Pauba Basin	8	8	8S/ 2W-12Hl, S	8S/ 2W-11L1, S
9-5-03	Wolf Basin (Pechanga)	٦	0	8S/ 2W-20El, S 17.9	8S/ 2W-20E1, S 17.4
9-7.00	San Luis Rey Valley				
9-7.01	Mission Basin	Ø	+ 1-1/2	11S/ 4W-18C4, S	11s/ 5W-13N2, S
9-7.02	Bonsall Basin	36	- 2-1/2	10s/1W-23K5, s 211.3	10s/3W-20E1, s 9.0
9-8.00	Warner Valley	32	+17-1/2	10S/ 2E-24Ql, S 187.0	11S/3E-7Al, S 12.1

AVERAGE CHANGES IN GROUND WATER LEVEL ELEVATIONS IN SELECTED VALLEYS AND BASINS IN SAN DIEGO REGION (NO. 9)

DURING 1959-60 (continued)

Hyd	Hydrologic unit or basin	: Average: :Number of : change in : wells : ground water: :considered:level during: in : the year,	Average : change in : ground water: level during: the year, :	Location and observed extremes of depth to ground water during 1959-60, in feet	erved extremes round water 50, in feet
		: analysis :	in feet :	Maximum :	Minimum
9-10.00	San Pasqual Valley				
9-10.01	Lake Hodges Basin	5		13S/ 2W- 3KL, S	13S/1W- 7E2, S
9-10.02	San Pasqual Basin	7+5	- 4	5N2, S	12S/ 1W-32N1, S
9-10.03	Felicita Basin	18	- 1-1/2	Pl, S	12S/ 2W-24R3, S
9-10.04	Green Basin	-	+ 5	KD, S	13S/ 2W-26H2, S 33.5
9-10.05	Highland Basin	N	+ 8-1/2	JII, S	138/ 1W- 5LL, S
9-10.06	Pamo Basin	m	2	ell, s	12S/ 1E- 2P2, S 8.7
9-10.08	Santa Ysabel Basin	П	- 1/2	sc2, s	12S/ 3E-21N1, S 3.0
9-11.00	Santa Maria Valley				
9-11.01	Ramona Basin	21	- 1	Ω	13S/ 1W-24KI, S
9-11.05	Santa Teresa Basin	ı	L -	2G1, S	13S/ 2E- 3E1, S
9-11.06	Ballena Basin	a	- 2-1/2	Ø	13s/ 2E-11C1, s 12.9

# AVERAGE CHANGES IN GROUND WATER LEVEL ELEVATIONS IN SELECTED VALLEYS AND BASINS IN SAN DIEGO REGION (NO. 9) (continued)

Hydr	Hydrologic unit or basin	: Average : Number of : change in : wells : ground water: considered: level during: in the year, : analysis : in feet :	Average change in ground water: level during the year, in feet	Location and observed extremes of depth to ground water during 1959-60, in feet Maximum : Minimum	rved extremes cound water 50, in feet Minimum
9-12.00	San Dieguito Valley				
9-12.01	San Dieguito Basin	ω	m 1	13s/3w-33D1, s 65.1	14s/ 4W-11J2, s 5.8
9-15.00	San Diego River Valley	13	- 9-1/2	15s/ 1E- 2P2, s 73.0	15s/ 1E-20Β <sup>μ</sup> , s 19.0
9-17.00	Sweetwater Valley	56	CV 1	16s/ 1E-29N1, s 22.6	17s/ 2w-25P2, s 3.5
9-19.00	Tia Juana Valley	147	- 1-1/2	1s/ 2w-27R2, s 85.8	18s/ 2w-32P7, s 6.9

acre-feet of this amount, or about 77 percent, consisted of imported Colorado River water, emphasizing once again the shortage of local surface runoff.

Artificial recharge activities were instrumental in minimizing or delaying further decreases in ground water levels in a number of basins. The measured or estimated amounts of water spread at the various projects for which there were quantitative reports of activity during the 1959-60 water year are tabulated in Table 21.

TABLE 21

SUMMARY OF PRINCIPAL ARTIFICIAL RECHARGE ACTIVITIES
IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA DURING 1959-60 WATER YEAR

Hydrologic Unit	: nation	: Agency : conducting: spreading : operation	Number:	amount
Ojai Valley	4- 2.00 4- 4.01	VCFCD UWCD	1	5 170
Santa Clara River Valley Oxnard Plain Forebay Area Piru Basin	4- 4.00 4- 4.02 4- 4.06	UWCD UWCD	2	13,100 3,440
Coastal Plain, Los Angeles County West Coast Basin Montebello Forebay Area	4-11.00 4-11.02 4-11.05	LACFCD LACFCD	2	3,700 <sup>b</sup> 44,600 <sup>c</sup>
San Fernando Valley San Fernando Basin	4-12.00 4-12.01	LACFCD LADW&P	3	390 8,040
Tujunga Basin  San Gabriel Valley  Main San Gabriel Basin	4-12.05 4-13.00 4-13.01	LACFCD	1	0
Monk Hill Basin Pasadena Subarea Santa Anita Subarea	4-13.02 4-13.03 4-13.04	LACFCD LACFCD LACFCD	7 1 1	4,810 0 0 810
Upper Canyon Basin	4-13.05	CSMWD DMWC SGRSC	1 1 1	35 4,370 <sup>d</sup> 6,110
Glendora Basin	4-13.07	GIC LACFCD	1	0
Upper Santa Ana Valley, Los Angeles County	4-14.00			
Claremont Heights Basin	4-14.04	PVPA CPWD	2 1	960
Coastal Plain, Orange County Santa Ana Forebay Area		OCWD D & SAVIC AUWC OCFCD	1 1 2 1	151,240 <sup>e</sup> 9,940 <sup>e</sup> 8,750 <sup>f</sup> 630 <sub>e</sub>
Irvine Basin Yorba Linda Basin Santa Ana Narrows Basin	8- 1.03 8- 1.05 8- 1.06	OCWD AUWC	3 1 1	870 <sup>e</sup> 1,610 630 <sup>g</sup>

### SUMMARY OF PRINCIPAL ARTIFICIAL RECHARGE ACTIVITIES IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA DURING 1959-60 WATER YEAR (continued)

	: Areal	:		:Reported or
	: Desig-		Number	: estimated
Hydrologic Unit	: nation	: conducting :	of	: amount
	: Code		rojects	: spread, in
	: Number	: operation <sup>a</sup> : o	perated	: acre-feet
Upper Santa Ana Valley	8- 2.00			
Chino Basin	8- 2.01	SBCFCD	2	210
0112110 100211		EWC	2	640
Cucamonga Basin	8- 2.03	SAWC & SBCFCD		1,390
Oddano 118 a Santa 1		SBCFCD	1	25
Bunker Hill Basin	8- 2.06	SBVWCD	3	3,900
Depart of the state of the stat		SBCFCD	ĺ	200
Lytle Basin	8- 2.07	FUWC	1	600
Devil Canyon Basin	8- 2.10	SBCFCD	1	1,440
Beaumont Basin	8- 2.12	RCFC&WCD	ì	0
Deadiiono basin	0. 2.12	1101 041102	~	•
Temescal Basin	8- 2.17	RCFC&WCD	1	0
Coldwater Basin	8- 2.19	TWC	2	210
Lee Lake Basin	8- 2.20	TWC	2	90
				•
San Jacinto Valley	8- 5.00	RCFC&WCD	1	20
Total local and imported v	ater renor	ted caread		272,935
Total Total and Imported	auci Tepoi	oca sproad		-1-1707
Total imported water repor	rted spread			211,000
Motel lead water reported	heeren f			61,935
Total local water reported	r shiead			01,737

a. Abbreviations of agencies conducting spreading operations are presented in alphabetical order: AUWC-Anaheim Union Water Company; CPWD-City of Pomona Water Department; CSMWD-City of Sierra Madre Water Department; DMWC-Duarte Mutual Water Company; EWC-Etiwanda Water Company; FUWC-Fontana Union Water Company; GIC-Glendora Irrigation Company; LACFCD-Los Angeles County Flood Control District; LADW&P-Los Angeles Department of Water and Power; OCFCD-Orange County Flood Control District; OCWD-Orange County Water District; PVPA-Pomona Valley Protective Association; RCFC&WCD-Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District; SAWC-San Antonio Water Company; SBCFCD-San Bernardino County Flood Control District; SBVWCD-San Bernardino Valley Water Conservation District; SGRSC-San Gabriel River Spreading Corporation; SAVIC-Santa Ana Valley Irrigation Company; TWC-Temescal Water Company; UWCD-United Water Conservation District; VCFCD-Ventura County Flood Control District.

b. Total quantity is softened Colorado River water.

### SUMMARY OF PRINCIPAL ARTIFICIAL RECHARGE ACTIVITIES IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA DURING 1959-60 WATER YEAR (continued)

- c. Includes approximately 37,330 acre-feet of unsoftened Colorado River water diverted to spreading grounds. An additional volume of approximately 36,060 acre-feet was purchased. This volume percolated in the unlined portions of the Rio Hondo and the San Gabriel River between the points of release and the points of diversion to the spreading grounds.
- d. Amount reported September 1959 through August 1960 only.
- e. Total quantity is unsoftened Colorado River water.
- f. Includes about 7,660 acre-feet of unsoftened Colorado River water.
- g. Includes about 90 acre-feet of unsoftened Colorado River water.



### CHAPTER IV. QUALITY OF WATER AND SEA-WATER INTRUSION

During the 1959-60 season, the principal water quality problem in Southern California continued to be the intrusion of sea water in coastal ground water basins. During this period, the saline fronts advanced in most areas under surveillance. The following sections present summary information on quality of surface and ground waters, and on the current status of sea water intrusion.

### Water Quality

Results of mineral analyses of surface and underground waters vary considerably within the various basins, making detailed evaluations of changes from year to year increasingly complex. Comprehensive evaluations of water quality changes are presented in the Department of Water Resources Bulletins, series No. 65, "Quality of Surface Waters of California", and series No. 66, "Quality of Ground Waters in California".

For the purpose of this report, mineral analyses of water samples collected during 1959-60 at selected surface and underground points in Southern California were compiled. These analyses are presented in Tables 22 and 23 and are intended to give only a general indication of water quality.

### Sea-Water Intrusion

The movement of sea water into the fresh-water aquifers of a number of the coastal ground water basins in Southern California continued during 1959-60. The Oxnard Plain Pressure Area of Ventura County, the West Coast Basin of Los Angeles County, and the Coastal Area of Orange

TABLE 22

MINERAL ANALYSES OF SURFACE WATER AT SELECTED STATIONS IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

	3 : Na	31	50	23	31	29
: Total :hardness	as CaCO3	157	941	427	996	416
	ф	0.30	0.34	0.53	1.08	1.22
nillion	NO <sub>3</sub>	1.6	94.0	1.3	10	0
per r	ಭ	96	47	54	93	365
n parts	so <sub>4</sub>	253	257	268	176	623
ents, i	HCO <sub>3</sub>	329	332	242	312	120
Mineral constituents, in parts per million	Na+K	102.6	57.2	61.9	506	298
neral	Mg	50	77	35	96	84
EM.	Ca	101	75	113	229	87
Ecxlo at	25°C	1,310	1,125	1,500	1,245	1,440
Date sampled and	estimated:discharge	6-9-60 2 cfs	5-5-61 15 est.	5-2-60 405 cfs S	5-2-60 ut 35 cfs m	5-10-60 0.06 cfs
Station		Salinas River, USGS gage at old State Highway 41 bridge at Paso Robles	Santa Ynez River at Mission Bridge 0.9 mile S. of Solvang	Ventura River, N. of Ventura, in Foster Memorial Park, 300 feet downstream from highway bridge, at USGS gaging station	Santa Clara River, E. 5-2-60 of Santa Paula and about 35 cfs 1.5 miles upstream from Willard Bridge	Los Angeles River NE. of Los Angeles at Figueroa Street
Station	number	37-121.7	318-35.5	42-5.7	43-17.0	47-23.9

MINERAL ANALYSES OF SURFACE WATER AT SELECTED STATIONS IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA (continued)

Station	Station	Date sampled and	ECx106 at		4ineral	Mineral constituents, in parts per million	lents,	in part	s per	million		: Total	Per-
number		estimated:discharge	. 25°C	Ça	Mg	Na+K	HCO <sub>3</sub>	$\mathrm{SO}_{4}$	CJ	NO3	д	as CaCO	Na
47-12.2- 9.6	Rio Hondo, N.E. of Montebello, about O.1 mile upstream from San Gabriel Blvd. bridge	5-3-60 18.0 cfs	935	58	1.8	95.3	196	162	58	3.4	0.15	220	24
71-	San Gabriel River, S.W. of El Monte and O.5 mile upstream from Whittier Narrows Dam	5-3-60 64 cfs	1,030	69	19	69	181	160	5	2.0	0.16	251	35
82-57.9-	Warm Creek, San Bernardino at "E" Street		Station d	ry at a	all time	dry at all times visited during 1959-60 season	ed duri	ng 1959	-60 se	ason			
82-45.2	Santa Ana River, Pedley Bridge N. of Arlington	5-3-60 23 cfs	1,505	113	19	83	339	100	86	19	0.12	360	32
93-20.0	Santa Margarita River, 5-2-60 N. of Fallbrook, about 3 cfs est. O.5 mile downstream from confluence with Sandia Creek	5-2-60 t 3 cfs est	1,005	98	24	129	290	125	137	0.0	0.26	313	94

MINERAL ANALYSES OF SURFACE WATER AT SELECTED STATIONS IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA (continued)

Station	Station	: Date : sampled : and	ECX106		Mineral constituents, in parts per million	constit	suents,	in part	s per	millic		Total hardness	Per-
number		:estimated :discharge	. 25°C	Ca	Mg	Na+K	HCO <sub>3</sub>	SOL	C1 :	NO <sub>3</sub>	В	as CaCO3 : Na in ppm	. Na
94-28.0	San Luis Rey River, S.E. of Pala at Pala Diversion Dam	1-11-60 1/40 est.	1,430	2	56	57	161	179	51	0.0	0.0	281	58
-72-	Big Rock Creek, S.E. Pearblossom and about 300 feet upstream from confluence with Pallett Creek	2-10-60	598	49	55	33	179	149	13	ι <b>ν</b>	45.0	267	17
619-95	Mojave River, N.W. of Victorville, about O.2 mile S.E. of U. S. Highway 91 bridge	5-3-60 27 cfs	1,810	40	9.5	9.5 43	190	32	88	9.0	0.07	139	39

TABLE 23

# MINERAL ANALYSES OF GROUND WATER AT SELECTED WELLS IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

3.1	:nardness:Per- :as CaCO3:cent : in ppm : Na			636 22		956 20			989
Total	nard as C								
ion	щ			94.0		0.46			1
r mill	NO <sub>3</sub>			24 S		М			1
rts pe	CJ			76		273			9
Mineral constituents, in parts per million	so <sub>t</sub>			455		416			1
uents.	Na+K; HCO3; SO <sub>lt</sub>			256		495			293
onstit	Na.+K	ជ		89		119			1
eral c	Mg	Regio		19		112	egion		1
	0	oastal		145		198	eles R		1
90,	ECXIO at 25°C	Central Coastal Region		1,743		5-60 2,182	Los Angeles Region	alley	1,490
	bate sampled	Öl		9-29-59 1,743 145		4- 5-60		River V	12- 9-60 1,490
	Owner and location		Santa Maria River Valley	Union Sugar Co.; 1.0 mile N. of Betteravia Road on Black Road, just W. of Black Road	Lompoc Subarea, Santa Ynez River Valley	Union Sugar Co.; 300' N. of Central Ave. produced 100' W. of Union Sugar Ave.		Oxnard Plain Pressure Area, Santa Clara River Valley	City of Oxnard; 200' E. of Saviers Road, 100' N. of Third Street
	State well number		Santa Mari	1941-W48/NO1	·	7N/35W-26F4		Oxnard Pla	ln/22w- 3F4

# MINERAL ANALYSES OF GROUND WATER AT SELECTED WELLS IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA (continued)

ZN/ 38/	Owner and location		ECx106:		ral co	nstitu	lents,	in pa	rts pe	Mineral constituents, in parts per million		hardness: Per-	.Per-
2N/ 3 <b>S/</b>		sampled	at 250C	Ca	Mg	Na+K	нсо3:	$so_{4}$	CJ	NO3	В	as CaCO3:cent in ppm : Na	: cent
2N/			Los Angeles Region (continued)	eles Re	gion (	contir	(pent						
2N/22W-12G1 United Corpora S.E. of N.E. of N.E. of S.B. Avenue  Central Coastal Pl  3S/12W- 8F1 Los Ang Two mil 1,600' interse Huy, an	Oxnard Plain Forebay Area, Santa Clara River Valley	River Val	ley										
38/	United Concrete Pipe Corporation; One mile S.E. of Saticoy, 200' N.E. of Del Norte Avenue, 500' S.E. of Vineyard Avenue	9-30-59	1,552	142	69	134	566	544	<sup>∞</sup>	a	0.55	049	30
	Central Coastal Plain Pressure Area, Coastal Plain (Los Angeles County)	oastal Pla	in (Los	Angele	s Coun	ity)							
, C	Los Angeles County Farm; Two miles S.W. of Downey, 1,600' S. and 300' W. of intersection of Imperial Hwy. and County Farm Road	7- 7-60	511	55	13	31	235	38	19	0	0.12	191	57
Montebello Forebay	Montebello Forebay Area, Coastal Plain	(Los Angeles County)	les Cou	nty)									
28/11W-19L1 La Habr Water C 3 Well; Whittie Norwalk Dunlap	La Habra Heights Mutual Water Company, Judson No. 3 well; Two miles W. Whittier, 1,050' W. of Norwalk Blvd., 1,600' from Dunlap Crossing along road	8-17-59	847	110	22	64	226	166	29	13	1	366	1

# MINERAL ANALYSES OF GROUND WATER AT SELECTED WELLS IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA (continued)

State well		Date	ECx106	Mine	ral co	nstitu	lents,	in par	ts per	Mineral constituents, in parts per million		: Total : hardness: Per-	Per-
number	: Owner and location	ਲੂ	at : 25°C :	Ca	Mg	Na-tK	Na+K; HCO3; SO4	so <sub>lt</sub>	 [2]	NO3	B i	as CaCO3:cent in ppm : Na	cent
			Los Angeles Region (continued)	les Re	gion (	contir	ned)						
San Ferna	San Fernando Basin, San Fernando Valley	Fe.											
11/N1-W41/N1	City of Burbank; 35' N.E. of Lake St. 40' N.W. of Orange Grove Ave.	9- 2-60	458	}	i i	1	198	1	22	1	1	161	1
Main San	Main San Gabriel Basin, San Gabriel Valley	alley											
18/11W- 2G2	City of Monrovia; three miles S. of Monrovia, 420' E. of Peck Rd., 110' N. of Jeffries Avenue	4-18-60	505	19	15	15	235	56	77	30	0.01	230	12
Pasadena	Pasadena Subarea, San Gabriel Valley												
IN/IEW-20BI	City of Pasadena, Copelin Well; Pasadena, 142' E. of Mentone Avenue, 118' N. of Manzanita Street	6- 1-59	1	59	19	22	210	58	8	11.3	1	223	17

MINERAL ANALYSES OF GROUND WATER AT SELECTED WELLS IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA (continued)

	: Fer-	Scent Na			1 8			56		24
	Total :	as CaCO3:cent: in ppm: Na			170			187		135
		в В			1 1			0.06		90.0
	in parts per million	NO <sub>3</sub>			ł			2.5		5.6
	rts per	CJ			50			24		0/
		$so_{lt}$			ì			43		19
	Mineral constituents,	Na+K HCO3:			200			212		171
	onsti	Na+K			1			33		23
3	eral c	Mg	gion		1 1	gion		10		0/
	Min	ဌအ	Lahontan Region		1	Ina Re	( <u>X</u>	59		38
	ECX106	at 25°c	Lahont		569	Santa Ana Region	(Orange County)	495		330
		sampled			7- 9-59			12-28-59		5-24-60
		: Owner and location		Lancaster Basin, Antelope Valley	Pedro Lizarraga; 100' E. of 90th Street W. and 100' N. of Avenue "G"		Anaheim Basin Pressure Area, Coastal Plain	Mountain Properties, Inc.; 12-28-59 Three miles W. of Garden Grove, 125' S. of Stanford Avenue, 258' E. of Sycamore Street	Chino Basin, Upper Santa Ana Valley	City of Ontario, No. 4 well; Two miles N.E. of Ontario; 90' S. of 4th St., 300' E. of Grove Avenue
	State well	number		Lancaster	8N/13W-32N1	-76-	Anaheim Be	4s/11W-36N1	Chino Basi	1S/ 7W-21Dl

# MINERAL ANALYSES OF GROUND WATER AT SELECTED WELLS IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA (continued)

State well	Owner and location	: Date	ECX106		al co	Mineral constituents,	ents,	in par	0	illim.		: Total :: hardness:Per-	Per-
Tooling	י סאווכן מוות דסכת הדסוו	portiume	25°C	Ca	Mg	Na+K HCO3:		so <sub>tt</sub>	CJ.	NO <sub>3</sub>	M	in ppm: Na	Na
			Santa /	Santa Ana Region (continued)	o) uo	ontinu	ed)						
Bunker Hi	Bunker Hill Basin, Upper Santa Ana Valley	/alley											
18/ 4W-15M2	Meeks and Daley Water Company; 0.7 mi. S. of Mill St., 100' W. of "E" St.	12-23-59	556	69	12	38	251	61	14	0	0.04	220	25
<b>-</b> 77-			San Die	San Diego Region	uo								
Mission B	Mission Basin, San Luis Rey River Valley	alley											
11S/ 4W-18C1	S. Davies; 2,900' N.E. from ppg. plt., along Hwy. 76, 1,760' N.W. along private road, 15' S.W. of road	4-1-60 1,568	1,568	971	34	173	274	160	301	0	0.20	430	45
Tia Juana Valley	Valley												
19s/ 2w~ 4A5	California Water and Telephone Company, Well No. 5; South Basin Plant. 720' W. of National Ave., 1,500' S. of Sunset Avenue	10-21-59	2,170	124	20	568	329	220	422	0	0.36	513	52
													Ì

County are the major basins experiencing this problem. The status of seawater intrusion within each of these basins is discussed in this section.

A description of the location and hydrologic features of these basins, as well as the history of sea-water intrusion and the corrective measures undertaken, may be found in Bulletin No. 39-57, Volume I, of this series of reports. A detailed study of sea-water intrusion in California is reported in Department of Water Resources Bulletin No. 63, "Sea Water Intrusion in California", November 1958.

In addition to the ground water basins indicated above, several smaller basins are confronted by an imminent threat to ground water quality by sea-water intrusion. These include Morro and Chorro Basins in San Luis Obispo County; Malibu Creek and Trancas Basins in Los Angeles County; San Mateo Basin near San Clemente in Orange County; and in San Diego County, Mission Basin in San Luis Rey River Valley, and the San Dieguito Basin, and Tia Juana Basin. In all of these areas the ground water levels continued to indicate a downward slope inland for some distance during 1959-60. The amount and nature of sea-water intrusion depended on the size of the ground water basin, the extent of ground water extractions, and the length of time the ground water levels have been below sea level. In certain smaller basins the water users have had to curtail pumping to forestall further damage to the limited water supplies. Nevertheless, this action has not been completely successful, and ground water table elevations below sea level are inducing an advance of the sea-water intrusion front toward inland wells in most of these areas.

### Oxnard Plain Pressure Area, Ventura County

Mineral analyses of water from wells in this area indicated that chloride ion concentrations exceeded 500 parts per million (ppm) during the spring of 1960. Isochlors, or lines of equal chloride ion concentration, outlining areas of the Oxnard Plain Pressure Area with 100 and 500 ppm concentrations are presented on Plate 13, "Generalized Status of Sea Water Intrusion--Oxnard Plain Pressure Area--Spring 1960". Ground water level contours for June 1960 are also shown on this plate. These data indicate that movement of sea water has generally been landward during the past year, with significant intrusion continuing in two areas, centering around Port Hueneme and Mugu Lagoon.

Near Port Hueneme, intrusion continued to advance inland in the area between Hueneme and Pleasant Valley Roads. The lines of 100 ppm and 500 ppm chlorides moved eastward as much as 1,500 feet during the past year, to form an apparent bulge in this area. It is noted on Plate 13 that the ground water level contours indicate a downward gradient, inland from the ocean which accounts for the rapid advance of sea water in that direction. Also, in the western portion of the Naval Reservation the isochlor lines advanced northward as much as 1,000 feet during the past year. In summary, the area underlain by sea water increased considerably. The maximum landward advance of the 500 ppm isochlor line is 1.9 miles in the easterly direction along Pleasant Valley Road.

Fluctuations of the chloride content in ground water from well 1N/22W-28A2, located near Port Hueneme, for the period 1956 through 1960, are presented graphically on Plate 14 "Fluctuations of Chloride Ion Concentration in Selected Wells".

In the vicinity of Mugu Lagoon, and especially within the confines of the Naval Reservation, the absence of water wells prevents the acquisition of essential data, so the extent of intrusion cannot be accurately determined. Recently, in the southern part of Section 36 (1N/22W-36), the chlorides in the waters produced from the wells have increased significantly, providing the first positive evidence of a moving saline front in the vicinity of Point Mugu.

To the south and west of Hueneme and Arnold Roads, there exists an apparently isolated area of about 200 acres where wells produce ground waters with chloride content ranging up to 560 ppm. The source of these saline waters has not as yet been determined.

### West Coast Basin, Los Angeles County

Sea water has intruded the fresh-water aquifers of the West Coast
Basin along the entire coast line bordering on Santa Monica Bay, from Palos
Verdes Hills to Ballona Gap. Lines of equal chloride concentration of 250,
500, and 1,000 ppm for the spring of 1960 are delineated on Plate 15
"Generalized Status of Sea Water Intrusion - West Coast Basin - Spring 1960".
Ground water level contours for June 1960 are also shown on this plate.

In the spring of 1960, the 500 ppm isochlor was located an average distance of 1.3 miles inland from the coast. The 250 ppm isochlor line was approximately 1,000 feet farther inland. Near the cities of Manhattan Beach and El Segundo, both the 250 and 500 ppm chloride lines evidenced little change from the previous spring.

A series of fresh water injection wells, located about one-half mile inland between Manhattan Beach Boulevard and Redondo Beach Boulevard, were again in operation during the year under the auspices of the West Coast

Basin Barrier Project. The isolated body of injected fresh water, landward of the wells, enlarged slightly at the northern end of the injection line.

The isochlor lines in the Redondo Beach area moved landward from their previous year's location as reflected by the continuing increase of chlorides in the intruded wells. The isochlor lines along other portions of the sea-water intrusion front remain in the same positions for 1960 as in 1959.

Fluctuations of the chloride content of water from well 3S/15W-21Gl in Manhattan Beach are shown for the period 1953 through 1960 on Plate 14.

### East Coastal Plain Pressure Area, Orange County

Lines of equal chloride ion concentrations of 50, 100, and 500 ppm for the East Coastal Plain Pressure Area for the spring of 1960 are delineated on Plate 16 "Generalized Status of Sea Water Intrusion - East Coastal Plain Pressure Area - June 1960". Ground water level contours for the Talbert Zone in Santa Ana Gap and the upper zone under Bolsa Chica Mesa for June 1960 are also shown on this plate.

Sea water has intruded a sizable area of the Talbert water-bearing zone in Santa Ana Gap, and landward movement continued during the last year. The 500 ppm icochlor line advanced approximately 1,000 feet and is now about 3.1 miles inland from the coast. The chloride ion concentration in wells along the intrusion front continues to increase.

The upper water-bearing zone underlying Bolsa Chica Mesa is being slowly intruded by sea water and/or oil field brines. This advance is indicated by increases in chloride content in the ground water occurring as far as one-half mile to the landward side of the Newport-Inglewood fault zone. Available data show no evidence of intrusion in the deeper water-bearing zones in this area.

Fluctuations of the chloride content of water from well 6S/10W-6L2 in the Santa Ana Gap during the period 1951 through 1960 are shown on Plate 14.

### CHAPTER V.

### MISCELLANEOUS ACTIVITIES AFFECTING WATER SUPPLY CONDITIONS

Although not in themselves items of water supply, the formation of water districts and construction activities related to water directly affect water supply conditions in Southern California. For this reason, a brief outline of these important activities which occurred during the 1959-60 season is presented below.

### Construction of Dams

Rattlesnake Dam on Rattlesnake Creek, Miramar Dam on Surr Creek, and Box Springs Dam on Box Springs Creek, were completed during the period encompassed by this report. Two other projects, Whale Rock Dam and Lake Mathews enlargement, were in construction and approximately 95 percent and 75 percent complete, respectively, on September 30, 1960. Table 24 lists the various dam projects with reservoir storage capacities in excess of 100 acre-feet which were under construction during the 1959-60 water year. Approximate start and completion dates and the agencies responsible for the work are also shown.

### Major Aqueduct Construction

During 1959-60, The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California continued work on several of its projects. Construction to enlarge the main aqueduct to full capacity was completed with the installation of Pumping Unit 9 at each of the four pumping plants and the completion of the second barrel of the aqueduct west of the San Jacinto Tunnel.

TABLE 24

DAM PROJECTS\* COMPLETED OR UNDER CONSTRUCTION DURING THE WATER YEAR 1959-60

Rattlesnake 8-59  Box Springs 9-59  Miramar 10-59	Started : Completed:	Agency responsible for construction	Purpose	: Location	: capacity, in
ngs	12-59	Irvine Co.	Regulating Storage	Rattlesnake Creek Orange County	1,500
o Miramar 10-59	3-60	Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District	Conservation, Flood control	Box Springs Creek Riverside County	387
	09-6	City of San Diego	Storage San Diego Aqueduct	Surr Creek San Diego County	7,250
Lake Mathews 3-59 (enlargement)	Incomplete	The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California	Terminal reservoir Colorado River Aqueduct	Cajalco Creek Riverside County	180,000
Whale Rock 3-59	Incomplete	State of California and City of San Luis Obispo	Conservation	Old Creek San Luis Obispo County	40,000

<sup>\*</sup> Greater than 100 acre-feet capacity

The San Diego County Water Authority and The Metropolitan Water
District of Southern California joined in the construction of the second
San Diego Aqueduct. On April 30, 1960 The Metropolitan Water District completed
the construction of its portion of the aqueduct to a point six miles south
of the Riverside-San Diego County line. The remainder of the aqueduct is
being constructed by the San Diego County Water Authority which has completed
construction on the first three sections totaling 45.9 miles. The last
section, totaling 13.4 miles, was scheduled for completion by January 1, 1961.
The completion of the second San Diego Aqueduct will provide the San Diego
County Water Authority with the capacity to import water at a rate of about
450 cubic feet per second.

The Metropolitan Water District also continued work on portions of its main distribution system. Construction continued on the West Coast Feeder, which is scheduled to be completed early in 1961, and construction was started on the 4.5-mile East Orange County Feeder to supply water to the Orange County Water District's Crill spreading grounds.

The second expansion of the F. E. Weymouth Softening and Filtration Plant at La Verne was started. When completed in 1962 this expansion will increase the capacity of the plant to 400 million gallons per day.

### Water District Formation Activities

During the 1959-60 fiscal year, there were 18 new water agencies formed in the Southern California area. Purposes for which these agencies were formed included the replenishment of ground water basins, the provision of a contractual basis for the purchase of imported water, and the delivery of water. The agencies created are listed according to the type of district, as follows:

### Special Districts

Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency Los Angeles and

Kern Counties

Mojave Water Agency San Bernardino County

Water Replenishment District

Central and West Basin Water Replenishment District Los Angeles County

Municipal Water Districts

Alpine Heights Municipal Water District San Diego County

Colonia Municipal Water District Ventura County

Dehesa Valley Municipal Water District San Diego County

Del Norte Municipal Water District Ventura County

Hidden Valley Municipal Water District Ventura County

Huntington Municipal Water District Los Angeles County

Ocean View Municipal Water District Ventura County

Russell Valley Municipal Water District Ventura County

San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District Los Angeles County

Upper San Gabriel Valley Municipal Water District Los Angeles County

County Water Districts

Cambria County Water District San Luis Obispo County

Costa Mesa County Water District Orange County

Oxnard Beach County Water District Ventura County

Waterworks District #29 Los Angeles County (Malibu Topanga area)

In addition to the foregoing, the service area of The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California continued to increase with the annexation of agencies to the District or annexation of areas to one of its

member cities, districts, or authorities. In the 1959-60 fiscal year,

there were ten annexations to the San Diego County Water Authority, one to the Orange County Municipal Water District, and nine to constituent cities of The Metropolitan Water District or the San Diego County Water Authority. A total of 67.12 square miles was added to The Metropolitan Water District by annexations during the 1959-60 fiscal year.




STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
SOUTHERN DISTRICT

WATER SUPPLY CONDITIONS IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA DURING 1959 - 60


LOCATION OF SOUTHERN DISTRICT





### REGIONS

- I NORTH COASTAL
- 2 SAN FRANCISCO
- 3 CENTRAL COASTAL
- 4 LOS ANGELES
- 5 CENTRAL VALLEY
- 6 LAHONTAN
- 7 COLORADO RIVER BASIN
- 8 SANTA ANA
- 9 SAN DIEGO






STATE OF CALIFORNIA


DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

SOUTHERN DISTRICT


WATER SUPPLY CONDITIONS IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA DURING 1959-60

PRECIPITATION DURING 1959-60 IN PER CENT OF 50-YEAR MEAN PRECIPITATION












N R


RNIA CA 0








ALIFORNIA





HISTORICAL IMPORTATIONS OF WATER TO COASTAL
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA


DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES, SOUTHERN DISTRICT 1961

3-16.04


CALIFORNIA DURING 1959-60

LOCATION OF WELLS AT WHICH WATER LEVEL FLUCTUATIONS ARE SHOWN CENTRAL COASTAL REGION (NO. 3)

> SCALE OF W LES 961










KEY MAP

34°00'

#### LEGEND



REGION BOUNDARY

- HYOROLDGIC UNIT BOUNDARY

--- GROUNG WATER BASIN BOUNDARY

■ IN/IW-IAI WELL AT WHICH WATER LEVEL FLUCTUATION IS SHOWN

33°45' -


NOTE ALL TOWNSHIP AND RANGE LINES ARE REFERENCED TO
SAN BERNARDINO BASE AND MERIDIAN


STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES SOUTHERN DISTRICT

WATER SUPPLY CONDITIONS IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA DURING 1959-60

LOCATION OF WELLS AT WHICH WATER LEVEL FLUCTUATIONS ARE SHOWN SANTA ANA REGION (NO. 8)





### KEY MAP

33° 5

#### LEGEND



9-8 00 GROUND WATER BASIN AND AREAL DESIGNATION OF BASIN

REGION BOUNDARY

- HYDROLOGIC UNIT BOUNDARY - - GROUND WATER BASIN BOUNDARY

● IN/IW-IAL WELL AT WHICH WHICH WATER LEVEL FLUCTUATION IS SHOWN

NOTE ALL TOWNSHIP AND RANGE LINES ARE REFERENCED TO SAN BERNARDINO BASE AND MERIDIAN

32°45 -


33°00' -

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES SOUTHERN DISTRICT

WATER SUPPLY CONDITIONS IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA DURING 1959-60

LOCATION OF WELLS AT WHICH R LEVEL FLUCTUATIONS ARE SHOWN SAN DIEGO REGION (NO. 9)




32 ° 30


SAN GABRIEL VALLEY (4-13.00)
MAIN' SAN GABRIEL BASIN (4-13.01)
WELLS IS/10W-18AI, 7R2, SB.B & M.



PASADENA SUBAREA (4-13.03) WELL IN/12W-20BI, S.B.B. & M.




SANTA ANITA SUBAREA (4-13.04)
WELLS IN/IIW-2IC2, CI, C4, S.B.B & M.



NOTE LOCATION OF WELLS SHOWN ON PLATES 6 AND 7

FLUCTUATION OF WATER LEVELS AT KEY WELLS IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA




TEMECULA VALLEY (9-5.00) MURRIETA BASIN (9-5.01)

WELLS 75/3W-17P4, 17P5, S.B B. & M




SAN LUIS REY VALLEY (9-7.00) MISSION BASIN (9-7.01)


WELL 11S/4W-9EI, S B.B. & M.



BONSALL BASIN (9-7.02) WELL 10S/3W-11GI, S.B.B. & M.




TIA JUANA VALLEY (9-19.00)





NOTE LOCATION OF WELLS SHOWN ON PLATES 8,9,10 AND 11

FLUCTUATION OF WATER LEVELS AT KEY WELLS IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA




DEPURTMENT OF MATER HESTURCES SOUTHERN DISTRICT YES











# COASTAL PLAIN, LOS ANGELES COUNTY (4-11.00) WEST COAST BASIN (4-11.02)




DNCENTRATION IN SELECTED WELLS











## COASTAL PLAIN, LOS ANGELES COUNTY (4-11.00) WEST COAST BASIN (4-11.02)



FLUCTUATIONS OF CHLORIDE ION CONCENTRATION IN SELECTED WELLS











# THIS BOOK IS DUE ON THE LAST DATE STAMPED BELOW

RENEWED BOOKS ARE SUBJECT TO IMMEDIATE RECALL

JUN 1 1965 1966 1966

LIBRARY, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS

Book Slip-50m-8,'63(D9954s4)458

Call Number:

306011

California. Dept. of Water Resources.

TC824

C2 A2 UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA DAVIS

3 1175 02037 6581

PHYSICAL SCIENCES LIBRARY

> LIBRARY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA DAVIS

> > 306011

